Bechtel National Inc.

Systems Engineers — Constructors

Jackson Plaza Tower
BOO Oak Ridge Turnpike
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Mail Address: P.O. Box 350. Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0350 Teles: 3785873

SEP 2 9 1989

U.S. Department of Energy Oak Ridge Operations Post Office Box 2001 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-8723

Attention: Robert G. Atkin

Technical Services Division

Subject:

Bechtel Job No. 14501, FUSRAP Project DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-810R20722

Publication of Radiological Characterization Report for seventeen residential properties, four municipal

properties, and seven commercial properties in

Lodi and Maywood, New Jersey

Code: 7315/WBS: 138

Dear Mr. Atkin:

Enclosed is one copy each of the 28 subject published reports for the properties listed in Attachment 1. These reports incorporate all comments received in this review cycle (CCNs 063165, 063327, 062285, and 061568) and are being published with approval of Steve Oldham, as reported in CCN 063868.

Also enclosed (as Attachment 2) is a proposed distribution list for these reports. Please send us any changes to the proposed distribution list at your earliest convenience so we may distribute the reports.

BNI would like to express our thanks to Mr. Oldham for his cooperation and efforts to review these drafts in an accelerated manner. His efforts have allowed us to publish these reports on schedule. If you have any questions about these documents, please call me at 576-4718.

Very truly yours,

R. C. Robertson

Project Manager - FUSRAP

RCR:wfs:1756x

Enclosure: As stated

cc: J. D. Berger, ORAU (w/e)
N. J. Beskid, ANL (w/e)

CONCURRENCE 1045 141-

RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION REPORT FOR THE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY AT 4 HANCOCK STREET LODI, NEW JERSEY

SEPTEMBER 1989

Prepared for

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

OAK RIDGE OPERATIONS OFFICE

Under Contract No. DE-AC05-810R20722

Ву

N. C. Ring, D. J. Whiting, and W. F. Stanley

Bechtel National, Inc.

Oak Ridge, Tennessee

Bechtel Job No. 14501

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
List	of F	igures	iv
List	of T	ables	iv
Abbr	eviat.	ions	v
1.0	Intr	oduction and Summary	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Purpose	3
	1.3	Summary	3
	1.4	Conclusions	6
2.0	Site	History	7
	2.1	Previous Radiological Surveys	8
	2.2	Remedial Action Guidelines	9
3.0	Heal	th and Safety Plan	12
	3.1	Subcontractor Training	12
	3.2	Safety Requirements	12
4.0	Char	acterization Procedures	14
	4.1	Field Radiological Characterization	14
		4.1.1 Measurements Taken and Methods Used	14
		4.1.2 Sample Collection and Analysis	17
	4.2	Building Radiological Characterization	19
5.0	Char	acterization Results	22
	5.1	Field Radiological Characterization	22
	5.2	Building Radiological Characterization	26
Refe	rence	s ·	36
Appei	ndix i	A - Geologic Drill Logs for 4 Hancock Street	A-1

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1-1	Location of Lodi Vicinity Properties	2
1-2	Location of 4 Hancock Street	4
4-1	Borehole Locations at 4 Hancock Street	16
4-2	Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Locations at 4 Hancock Street	18
4-3	Gamma Exposure Rate Measurement Locations at 4 Hancock Street	21
5-1	Areas of Subsurface Contamination at 4 Hancock Street	25

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
2-1	Summary of Residual Contamination Guidelines for the Lodi Vicinity Properties	10
5-1	Surface and Subsurface Radionuclide Concentrations in Soil for 4 Hancock Street	28
5-2	Downhole Gamma Logging Results for 4 Hancock Street	30
5-3	Gamma Radiation Exposure Rates for 4 Hancock Street	35

ABBREVIATIONS

cm centimeter
cm² square centimeter

cpm counts per minute

dpm disintegrations per minute

ft foot h hour in. inch

km² square kilometer

L liter

L/min liters per minute

m meter

m² square meter

MeV million electron volts
μR/h microroentgens per hour

mi mile

mi² square mile

min minute

mrad/h millirad per hour

mrem millirem

mrem/yr millirem per year
pCi/g picocuries per gram
pCi/L picocuries per liter

WL working level

yd yard

yd³ cubic yard

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This section provides a brief description of the history and background of the Maywood site and its vicinity properties. Data obtained from the radiological characterization of this vicinity property are also presented.

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The 1984 Energy and Water Appropriations Act authorized the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) to conduct a decontamination research and development project at four sites, including the site of the former Maywood Chemical Works (now owned by the Stepan Company) and its vicinity properties. The work is being administered under the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) under the direction of the DOE Division of Facility and Site Decommissioning Projects. Several residential, commercial, and municipal properties in Lodi, New Jersey, are included in FUSRAP as vicinity properties. Figure 1-1 shows the location of the Lodi vicinity properties in relation to the former Maywood Chemical Works.

The U.S. Government initiated FUSRAP in 1974 to identify, clean up, or otherwise control sites where low-activity radioactive contamination (exceeding current guidelines) remains from the early years of the nation's atomic energy program or from commercial operations that resulted in conditions Congress has mandated that DOE remedy (Ref. 1).

FUSRAP is currently being managed by DOE Oak Ridge Operations. As the Project Management Contractor for FUSRAP, Bechtel National, Inc. (BNI) is responsible to DOE for planning, managing, and implementing FUSRAP.




FIGURE 1-1 LOCATION OF LODI VICINITY PROPERTIES

1.2 PURPOSE

The purpose of the 1986 survey performed by BNI was to locate the horizontal and vertical boundaries of radionuclide concentrations exceeding remedial action guidelines.

1.3 SUMMARY

This report details the procedures and results of the radiological characterization of the property at 4 Hancock Street (Figure 1-2) in Lodi, New Jersey, which was conducted in November and December 1986. Additional data was obtained in November 1988.

Ultimately, the data generated during the radiological characterization will be used to define the complete scope of remedial action necessary to release the site.

This characterization confirmed that thorium-232 is the primary radioactive contaminant at this property. Results of surface soil samples for 4 Hancock Street showed maximum concentrations of thorium-232 and radium-226 to be 3.9 and 1.1 pCi/g, respectively. The maximum concentration of uranium-238 in surface soil samples was less than 7.1 pCi/g.

Subsurface soil sample concentrations ranged from 0.4 to 11.7 pCi/g for thorium-232 and from 0.4 to 2.0 pCi/g for radium-226. The average background level in this area for both radium-226 and thorium-232 is 1.0 pCi/g. The concentrations of uranium-238 in subsurface soil samples ranged from less than 1.0 to less than 8.8 pCi/g. Because the major contaminants at the vicinity properties are thorium and radium, the decontamination guidelines provide the appropriate guidance for the cleanup activities. DOE




FIGURE 1-2 LOCATION OF 4 HANCOCK STREET

believes that these guidelines are conservative for considering potential adverse health effects that might occur in the future from any residual contamination. The dose contributions from uranium and any other radionuclides not numerically specified in these guidelines are not expected to be significant following decontamination. In addition, the vicinity properties will be decontaminated in a manner so as to reduce future doses to levels that are as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) (Ref. 2).

Soil analysis data for this property showed surface contamination. Subsurface investigation by gamma logging indicated contamination to a depth of 2.43 m (8.0 ft).

Exterior gamma radiation exposure rates ranged from 9 to 12 μ R/h, including background. The indoor measurement showed a rate of 9 μ R/h, including background.

The radon-222 measurements inside the residence indicated concentrations that were less than the lower limit of detection and that are within the DOE guideline of 3.0 pCi/L.

į

i

Measurements for radon daughters ranged from 0.009 to 0.001 working level (WL), and measurements for thoron daughters were both 0.0007 WL.

All data tables for this property appear at the end of this report.

1.4 CONCLUSIONS

Evaluation of data collected, analyses performed, and historical documentation reviewed indicates the presence of radiological contamination on the property located at 4 Hancock Street. This contamination is primarily subsurface contamination ranging from a depth of approximately 0.30 m (1.0 ft) to 2.43 m (8.0 ft). In addition, the contamination appears to extend beneath the residence as well as into the street in front of the residence. probability that contamination extended into the street was confirmed in November 1988 during characterization and data-gap drilling activities. The total affected area is estimated to be approximately 50 percent of the property. These conclusions are supported by documentation that establishes the presence of the former channel of Lodi Brook in this area. This channel is the suspected transport mechanism for the radiological contamination.

2.0 SITE HISTORY

ĺ

Ĺ

Ĭ,

The Maywood Chemical Works was founded in 1895. The company began processing thorium from monazite sand in 1916 (during World War I) for use in manufacturing gas mantles for various lighting devices. The company continued this work until 1956. Process wastes from manufacturing operations were pumped to two areas surrounded by earthen dikes on property west of the plant. Subsequently, some of the contaminated wastes migrated onto adjacent and vicinity properties.

In 1928 and again between 1944 and 1946, some of the residues from the processing operations were moved from the company's property and used as mulch and fill in nearby low-lying areas. The fill material consisted of tea and coca leaves mixed with other material resulting from operations at the plant. Some fill material apparently contained thorium process wastes (Ref. 3).

Uncertainty exists as to how the properties in Lodi were contaminated. According to an area resident, fill from an unknown source was brought to Lodi and spread over large portions of the previously low-lying and swampy area. For several reasons, however, a more plausible explanation is that the contamination migrated along a drainage ditch originating on the Maywood Chemical Works property. First, it can be seen from photographs and tax maps of the area that the course of a previously existing stream known as Lodi Brook, which originated at the former Maywood Chemical Works, generally coincides with the path of contamination in Lodi. The brook was subsequently replaced by a storm drain system as the area was developed. Second, samples taken from Lodi properties indicate elevated concentrations of a series of

elements known as rare earths. Rare earth elements are typically found in monazite sands, which also contain thorium. This type of sand was feedstock at the Maywood Chemical Works, and elevated levels are known to exist in the by-product of the extraction process. Third, the ratio of thorium to other radionuclides found on these Lodi properties is comparable to the ratio found in contaminated material on other properties in Lodi (Ref. 4). And finally, long-time residents of Lodi recalled chemical odors in and around the brook in Lodi and steam rising off the water. These observations suggest that discharges of contaminants occurred upstream.

The Stepan Chemical Company (now called the Stepan Company) purchased Maywood Chemical Works in 1959. The Stepan Company itself has never been involved in the manufacture or processing of any radioactive materials (Ref. 5).

2.1 PREVIOUS RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS

Ţ

Numerous surveys of the Maywood site and its vicinity properties have been conducted. Among the past surveys, three that are pertinent to this vicinity property are detailed in this section.

January 1981—The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) directed that a survey be conducted of the Stepan Company property and its vicinity properties in January 1981. Using the Stepan Company plant as the center, a 10.3-km² (4-mi²) aerial survey was conducted by the EG&G Energy Measurements Group, which identified anomalous concentrations of thorium-232 to the north and south of the Stepan Company property. The Lodi vicinity properties were included in this survey (Ref. 6).

June 1984 -- In June 1984, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) conducted a "drive-by" survey of Lodi using its "scanning van." Although not comprehensive, the survey indicated areas requiring further investigation (Ref. 7).

<u>September 1986</u>—At the request of DOE, ORNL conducted radiological surveys of the vicinity properties in Lodi in September 1986 to determine which properties contained radioactive contamination in excess of DOE guidelines and would, therefore, require remedial action (Ref. 8).

2.2 REMEDIAL ACTION GUIDELINES

Table 2-1 summarizes the DOE guidelines for residual contamination. The thorium-232 and radium-226 limits listed in Table 2-1 will be used to determine the extent of remedial action required at the vicinity properties. DOE developed these guidelines to be consistent with the guidelines established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Program.

TABLE 2-1 SUMMARY OF RESIDUAL CONTAMINATION GUIDELINES

BASIC DOSE LIMITS

The basic limit for the annual radiation dose received by an individual member of the general public is 100 mrem/yr.

SOIL GUIDELINES

Radionuclide	Soil Concentration (pCl/g) Above Background ^{a,b,c}		
Radium-226	5 pCi/g when averaged over the first 15 cm of soil below		
Radium-228	the surface; 15 pCi/g when averaged over any 15-cm-thick		
Thorium-230	soil layer below the surface layer.		
Thorium-232	•		
Other Radionuclides	Soil guidelines will be calculated on a site-specific basis using the DOE manual developed for this use.		

STRUCTURE GUIDELINES

Airborne Radon Decay Products

Generic guidelines for concentrations of airborne radon decay products shall apply to existing occupied or habitable structures on private property that has no radiological restrictions on its use; structures that will be demolished or buried are excluded. The applicable generic guideline (40 CFR 192) is: In any occupied or habitable building, the objective of remedial action shall be, and reasonable effort shall be made to achieve, an annual average (or equivalent) radon decay product concentration (including background) not to exceed 0.02 WL. In any case, the radon decay product concentration (including background) shall not exceed 0.03 WL. Remedial actions are not required in order to comply with this guideline when there is reasonable assurance that residual radioactive materials are not the cause.

External Gamma Radiation

The average level of gamma radiation inside a building or habitable structure on a site that has no radiological restrictions on its use shall not exceed the background level by more than 20 µR/h.

Indoor/Outdoor Structure Surface Contamination

Allowable Surface Residual Contamination® (dpm/100 cm²)

Radionuclide ^f	Average ^{g,h}	Maximum ^{h,i}	Removable ^{h,j}
Transuranics, Ra-226, Ra-228, Th-230, Th-228 Pa-231, Ac-227, I-125, I-129	100	300	20
Th-Natural, Th-232, Sr-90, Ra-223, Ra-224 U-232, I-126, I-131, I-133	1,000	3,000	200
U-Natural, U-235, U-238, and associated decay products	5,000 α	15,000 α	1,000 α
Beta-gamma emitters (radionuclides with decay modes other than alpha emission or spontaneous fission) except Sr-90 and others noted above	5,000 B - y	15,000 8 - γ	1,000 Β - γ

TABLE 2-1 (CONTINUED)

These guidelines take into account ingrowth of radium-226 from thorium-230 and of radium-228 from thorium-232, and assume secular equilibrium. If either thorium-230 and radium-226 or thorium-232 and radium-228 are both present, not in secular equilibrium, the guidelines apply to the higher concentration. If other mixtures of radionuclides occur, the concentrations of individual radionuclides shall be reduced so that 1) the dose for the mixtures will not exceed the basic dose limit, or 2) the sum of ratios of the soil concentration of each radionuclide to the allowable limit for that radionuclide will not exceed 1 ("unity").

These guidelines represent allowable residual concentrations above background averaged across any 15-cm-thick layer to any depth and over any contiguous 100-m² surface area.

^CLocalized concentrations in excess of these limits are allowable, provided that the average concentration over a 100-m² area does not exceed these limits. In addition, every reasonable effort shall be made to remove any source of radionuclide that exceeds 30 times the appropriate soil limit, regardless of the average concentration in the soil.

^dA working level (WL) is any combination of short-lived radon decay products in 1 liter of air that will result in the ultimate emission of 1.3 x 105 MeV of potential alpha energy.

^eAs used in this table, dpm (disintegrations per minute) means the rate of emission by radioactive material as determined by correcting the counts per minute observed by an appropriate detector for background, efficiency, and geometric factors associated with the instrumentation.

¹Where surface contamination by both alpha- and beta-gamma-emitting radionuclides exists, the limits established for alpha- and beta-gamma-emitting radionuclides should apply independently.

^gMeasurements of average contamination should not be averaged over more than 1 m². For objects of less surface area, the average shall be derived for each such object.

^hThe average and maximum radiation levels associated with surface contamination resulting from beta-gamma emitters should not exceed 0.2 mrad/h and 1.0 mrad/h, respectively, at 1 cm.

The maximum contamination level applies to an area of not more than 100 cm².

The amount of removable radioactive material per 100 cm² of surface area should be determined by wiping that area with dry filter or soft absorbent paper, applying moderate pressure, and measuring the amount of radioactive material on the wipe with an appropriate instrument of known efficiency. When removable contamination on objects of surface area less than 100 cm² is determined, the activity per unit area should be based on the actual area and the entire surface should be wiped. The numbers in this column are maximum amounts.

3.0 HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN

BNI is responsible for protecting the health of personnel assigned to work at the site. As such, all subcontractors and their personnel were required to comply with the provisions of BNI health and safety requirements and as directed by the on-site BNI Health and Safety Officer.

3.1 SUBCONTRACTOR TRAINING

Before the start of work, all subcontractor personnel attended an orientation session presented by the BNI Health and Safety Officer to explain the nature of the material to be encountered in the work and the personnel monitoring and safety measures that are required.

3.2 SAFETY REQUIREMENTS

Subcontractor personnel complied with the following BNI requirements:

- o Bioassay--Subcontractor personnel submitted bioassay samples before or at the beginning of on-site activity, upon completion of the activity, and periodically during site activities as requested by BNI.
- o Protective Clothing/Equipment--Subcontractor personnel were required to wear the protective clothing/equipment specified in the subcontract or as directed by the BNI Health and Safety Officer.
- o Dosimetry--Subcontractor personnel were required to wear and return daily the dosimeters and monitors issued by BNI.
- o Controlled Area Access/Egress--Subcontractor personnel and equipment entering areas where access and egress were controlled for radiation and/or chemical safety purposes were surveyed by the BNI Health and Safety Officer (or personnel representing BNI) for contamination before leaving those areas.

o Medical Surveillance--Upon written direction from BNI, subcontractor personnel who work in areas where hazardous chemicals might exist were given a baseline and periodic health assessment defined in BNI's Medical Surveillance Program.

Radiation and/or chemical safety surveillance of all activities related to the scope of work was under the direct supervision of personnel representing BNI.

Health and safety-related requirements for all activities involving exposure to radiation, radioactive material, chemicals, and/or chemically contaminated materials and other associated industrial safety hazards are generated in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and industry-wide standards. Copies of these requirements are located at the BNI project office for use by project personnel.

4.0 CHARACTERIZATION PROCEDURES

A master grid was established by the surveyor. BNI's radiological support subcontractor, Thermo Analytical/Eberline (TMA/E), established a grid on individual properties. The size of the grid blocks was adjusted to characterize each property adequately. The grid origin allows the grid to be reestablished during remedial action and is correlated with the New Jersey state grid system. All data correspond to coordinates on the characterization grid. The grid with the east and north coordinates is shown on all figures included in Sections 4.0 and 5.0 of this report.

4.1 FIELD RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

å`

ì

This section provides a description of the instrumentation and methodologies used to obtain exterior surface and subsurface measurements during radiological characterization of this project.

4.1.1 Measurements Taken and Methods Used

An initial walkover survey was performed using an unshielded gamma scintillation detector [5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide probe] to identify areas of elevated radionuclide activity. Near-surface gamma measurements taken using a cone-shielded gamma scintillation detector were also used to determine areas of surface contamination. The shielded detector ensured that the majority of the radiation detected by the instrument originated from the ground directly beneath the unit. Shielding against lateral gamma flux, or shine, from nearby areas of contamination minimized potential sources of error in the measurements. The measurements were taken 30.4 cm (12 in.) above the ground at the intersections of

3.0-m (10-ft) grid lines. The shielded detector was calibrated at the Technical Measurements Center (TMC) in Grand Junction, Colorado, to provide a correlation of counts per minute (cpm) to picocuries per gram (pCi/g). This calibration demonstrated that approximately 11,000 cpm corresponds to the DOE guideline of 5 pCi/g plus local average background of 1 pCi/g for thorium-232 in surface soils (Ref. 9).

A subsurface investigation was conducted to determine the depth to which the previously identified surface contamination extended and to locate subsurface contamination where there was no surface manifestation. The subsurface characterization consisted of drilling seven boreholes on the property and one borehole in the street immediately adjacent to the property (Figure 4-1) [using either a 7.6-cm-(3-in.-) or 15.2-cm-(6-in.-) diameter auger bit], and gamma logging them. The boreholes were drilled to depths determined in the field by the radiological and geological support representatives.

The downhole gamma logging technique was used because the procedure can be accomplished in less time than collecting soil samples, and the need for analyzing these samples in a laboratory is eliminated. A 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector was used to perform the downhole logging. The instrument was calibrated at TMC where it was determined that a count rate of approximately 40,000 cpm corresponds to the 15-pCi/g subsurface contamination guideline for thorium-232. This relationship has also been corroborated by results from previous characterizations where thorium-232 was found (Ref. 9).




FIGURE 4-1 BOREHOLE LOCATIONS AT 4 HANCOCK STREET

Gamma radiation measurements were taken at 15.2-cm (6-in.) vertical intervals to determine the depth and concentration of the contamination. The gamma-logging data were reviewed to identify trends, whether or not concentrations exceeded the guidelines.

4.1.2 Sample Collection and Analysis

To identify surface areas where the level of contamination exceeded the DOE guideline of 5 pCi/g for thorium-232, areas with measurements of more than 11,000 cpm were plotted. Using these data as well as data from previous surveys (Refs. 5, 6, 7, and 8), the locations of biased surface soil samples were selected to better define the limits of contamination. Surface soil samples were taken at seven locations on the property and one location in the street immediately adjacent to the property (Figure 4-2) and analyzed for thorium-232, uranium-238, and radium-226. sample was dried, pulverized, and counted for 10 min using an intrinsic germanium detector housed in a lead counting cave lined with cadmium and copper. The pulse height distribution was sorted using a computer-based, multichannel analyzer. Radionuclide concentrations were determined by comparing the gamma spectrum of each sample with the spectrum of a certified counting standard for the radionuclide of interest.

Subsurface soil samples were collected from the same locations as the surface samples (Figure 4-2) using either the side-wall sampling method or a 7.6-cm (3.0-in.) split-spoon sampler and were analyzed to compare laboratory soil sample results to downhole gamma radiation measurements. The side-wall method utilized a cup or can attached to a steel pipe or wooden stake, which was inserted into the borehole and used to scrape samples off the side of the borehole at a specified depth. The subsurface soil samples were analyzed




FIGURE 4-2 SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLING LOCATIONS AT 4 HANCOCK STREET

JKL

for radium-226, uranium-238, and thorium-232 in the same manner as the surface soil samples.

4.2 BUILDING RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

After evaluating previous radiological survey data as well as data from this characterization, it was suspected that contamination might be present under the foundation of the residence. A radon measurement was obtained to verify the presence of contaminated material under the residence and to estimate potential occupational exposures during future remedial actions.

Indoor radon measurements were made using the Tedlar bag method. Samples were collected by pumping air into a Tedlar bag at a rate of approximately 2 L/min. The air sample was transferred directly into a scintillation cell with an interior coating of zinc sulfide and an end window for viewing the scintillations. Analysis of the sample was simplified by allowing the radon decay products to build up over time. This method allowed all the radon decay products to come into secular equilibrium with the radon. The scintillation cell was placed in contact with a photomultiplier tube, and the scintillations were counted using standard nuclear counting instrumentation.

Indoor air samples were collected to determine a WL for radon and thoron daughters. To measure radon daughters, an air sample was collected for exactly 5 min through a 0.45-micron filter at a rate of 11 L/min for a total sample volume of 55 L. Alpha particle activity on the filter paper was counted from 40 to 90 min after sampling. An alpha scintillation detector coupled to a count-rate meter or digital scaler was used. Measurements for thoron daughters were made using the same method as for radon daughters with

the exception of the time between collection of the air sample and counting of the alpha particle activity. In the case of thoron daughters, the sample was allowed to age for at least 5 h after sampling before alpha activity was counted. This elapsed time allowed radon daughters, which may have been present with the thoron daughters, to decay sufficiently so as not to interfere in calculating the WL for thoron daughters.

Exterior gamma exposure rate measurements were made at four locations throughout the property grid system and at one location inside the residence. To obtain these measurements, either a 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector designed to detect gamma radiation only or a pressurized ionization chamber (PIC) was used. Measurement locations are shown in The PIC instrument has a response to gamma Figure 4-3. radiation that is proportional to exposure in roentgens. conversion factor for gamma scintillation to the PIC was established through a correlation of these two measurements at four locations in the vicinity of the property. unshielded gamma scintillation detector readings were then used to estimate gamma exposure rates for each location. These measurements were taken 1 m (3 ft) above the ground. The locations were determined to be representative of the entire property. Interior measurements are generally obtained with the gamma scintillation instrument rather than the PIC because of its smaller size and the desire to minimize the technician's time inside the residence.




FIGURE 4-3 GAMMA EXPOSURE RATE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS AT 4 HANCOCK STREET

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION RESULTS

Radiological characterization results are presented in this section. The data included represent exterior surface and subsurface radiation measurements and interior radiation measurements.

5.1 FIELD RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

Near-surface gamma radiation measurements on the property ranged from 3,000 cpm to approximately 6,600 cpm. The average background level for this area is 5,000 cpm. A measurement of 11,000 cpm is approximately equal to the DOE guideline for thorium-232 of 5 pCi/g above background for surface soil contamination. Using this correlation, the near-surface gamma measurements were used to determine the extent of surface contamination and the basis for selecting the locations of soil samples. No areas of surface contamination were indicated by near-surface gamma measurements.

Surface soil samples [depths from 0.0 to 15.2 cm (0.5 in.)] were taken at seven locations on the property and one location in the street immediately adjacent to the property (Figure 4-2). These samples were analyzed for thorium-232, uranium-238, and radium-226. The concentrations in these samples ranged from less than 4.2 to less than 7.1 pCi/g for uranium-238, from 1.1 to 3.9 pCi/g for thorium-232, and from 0.7 to 1.1 pCi/g for radium-226. Analytical results for surface soils are provided in Table 5-1; these data showed that concentrations of thorium-232 do not exceed DOE guidelines (5 pCi/g plus background of 1 pCi/g for surface soils) with a maximum concentration of 3.9 pCi/g. Use of the "less than" (<) notation in reporting results indicates that the radionuclide was not present in concentrations that are

quantitative with the instruments and techniques used. "less than" value represents the lower bound of the quantitative capacity of the instrument and technique used. The "less than" value is based on various factors, including the volume, size, and weight of the sample; the type of detector used; the counting time; and the background count rate. The actual concentration of the radionuclide is less than the value indicated. In addition, since radioactive decay is a random process, a correlation between the rate of disintegration and a given radionuclide concentration cannot be precisely established. For this reason, the exact concentration of the radionuclide cannot be determined. such, each value that can be quantitatively determined has an associated uncertainty term (\pm) , which represents the amount by which the actual concentration can be expected to differ from the value given in the table. The uncertainty term has an associated confidence level of 95 percent.

Thorium-232, the primary contaminant at the site, is the radionuclide most likely to exceed a specific DOE guideline in soil. Parameters for soil sample analysis were selected to ensure that the thorium-232 would be detected and measured at concentrations well below the lower guideline value of 5 pCi/g in excess of background level. Radionuclides of the uranium series, specifically uranium-238 and radium-226, are also potential contaminants but at lower concentrations than Therefore, these radionuclides (considered thorium-232. secondary contaminants) would not be present in concentrations in excess of guidelines unless thorium-232 was also present in concentrations in excess of its guideline level. Parameters selected for the thorium-232 analyses also provide detection sensitivities for uranium-238 and radium-226 that demonstrate that concentrations of these radionuclides are below guidelines. However, because of the relatively low gamma photon abundance of uranium-238, many of

the uranium-238 concentrations were below the detection sensitivity of the analytical procedure; these concentrations are reported in the data tables as "less than" values. To obtain more sensitive readings for the uranium-238 radionuclide with these analytical methods, much longer instrument counting times would be required than were necessary for analysis of thorium-232, the primary contaminant.

Analytical results for subsurface soil samples are given in Table 5-1, and gamma logging data are given in Table 5-2. The results in Table 5-2 showed a range from 7,000 cpm to 375,000 cpm. A measurement of 40,000 cpm is approximately equal to the DOE guideline for subsurface contamination of 15 pCi/g. Analyses of subsurface soil samples [taken at depths from 15.2 cm (0.5 in.) to bottom of borehole] indicated uranium-238 concentrations ranging from less than 1.0 to less than 8.8 pCi/g, thorium-232 concentrations ranging from 0.4 to 11.7 pCi/g, and radium-226 concentrations ranging from 0.4 to 2.0 pCi/g.

ĺ

Į

On the basis of near-surface gamma radiation measurements, surface and subsurface soil sample analyses, and downhole gamma logging, contamination on this property is believed to consist primarily of subsurface contamination at depths ranging from approximately 0.30 m (1.0 ft) to 2.43 m (8.0 ft). The areas of subsurface contamination are shown in Figure 5-1. The subsurface contamination appears to extend beneath the residence as well as into the street in front of the property.

It is apparent from review of historical documentation (e.g., aerial photographs of the area, interviews with local residents, and previous radiological surveys) that the subsurface contamination on this property lies along the




FIGURE 5-1 AREAS OF SUBSURFACE CONTAMINATION AT 4 HANCOCK STREET

former channel of Lodi Brook and its associated floodplain. The contamination on this property is similar to contamination found on residential properties in close proximity to this property. It has been established that the Lodi Brook channel through these adjacent properties once occupied locations connecting to those where stream sediments were found at 4 Hancock Street. Thus, the elevated gamma readings shown on gamma logs from boreholes drilled on this property serve as further indication of the suspected mechanism of transport for radiological contamination (i.e., stream deposition from Lodi Brook).

The vertical and horizontal limits of contamination as determined by this characterization effort are being evaluated to determine the volume of contaminated material that will require remedial action. To develop this estimate, BNI will consider the location of the contamination, construction techniques, and safety procedures.

5.2 BUILDING RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

Results of two indoor radon measurements using the Tedlar bag method indicated concentrations that were less than 0.5 pCi/g. These measurements were substantially less than the applicable DOE guideline of 3.0 pCi/L above background (Ref. 10).

Results of measurements for radon daughters ranged from 0.0009 to 0.001 WL. These results were substantially less than the applicable generic guideline detailed in the Code of Federal Regulations, 40 CFR 192 (Ref. 10), which states that an annual average (or equivalent) radon decay product concentration not exceed 0.02 WL.

Results of measurements for thoron daughters were both less than the lower limit of detection. The generic guideline is more restrictive for radon-222 (radon) than for radon-220 (thoron) according to the National Council on Radiological Protection [see NCRP Report No. 50 (Ref. 11), which was used as the guideline for thoron daughter measurements.]

Exterior gamma radiation exposure rate measurements ranged from 9 to 12 μ R/h, including background. These results can be found in Table 5-3. Assuming the resident spends 36 hours per week for 52 weeks per year (1,872 hours or 8 hours per day for 2 days per week and 4 hours per day for 5 days per week) in the yard, the average exterior exposure rate of 11 μ R/h would result in a yearly dose of 4 mrem above average background (after subtracting background of 9 μ R/h; Ref. 12).

The indoor exposure rate measurement was 9 μ R/h, including background. The indoor exposure rate does not exceed average background (Table 5-3). For comparison, the DOE guideline for indoor exposure rate is 20 μ R/h.

Based on the above information, the exposure rates and doses at this property are within DOE guidelines. Further, it should be emphasized that natural background exposure rates vary widely across the United States and are often significantly higher than average background for this area.

TABLE 5-1
SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE RADIONUCLIDE CONCENTRATIONS IN SOIL

FOR 4 HANCOCK STREET

Page 1 of 2

Coord	inates ^a	Depth	Conce	ntration (pCi/q ± 2 sigma	a)
East	North	(ft)	Uranium-238	Radium-226	Thorium-232
2700	1958	0.5 - 1.0	< 6.0	< 1.0	11.2 ± 1.
2700	1958	1.0 - 1.5	2.2 ± 1.6	1.2 ± 0.3	$3.9 \pm 0.$
2700	1958	1.5 - 2.0	2.3 ± 2.0	1.8 ± 0.4	$3.3 \pm 0.$
2700	1958	2.0 - 2.5	< 2.0	1.2 ± 0.2	4.5 ± 0.
2700	1958	3.0 - 3.5	< 6.0	< 1.0	11.7 ± 3.
2700	1958	3.5 - 4.0	< 4.0	1.2 ± 0.2	9.1 ± 1.
2700	1958	4.0 - 4.5	< 4.0	1.5 ± 0.1	4.3 ± 1.
2700	1958	4.0 - 7.0	< 3.0	1.1 ± 0.4	4.7 ± 1.
2700	1958	8.0 - 8.5	< 2.0	0.9 ± 0.1	$3.0 \pm 0.$
2700	1958	8.5 - 9.0	2.1 ± 1.6	< 1.0	< 1.0
2700	1958	9.0 - 9.5	< 1.0	0.4 ± 0.1	$0.4 \pm 0.$
2700	1958	9.0 - 10.0	< 2.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
2705	1918	0.0 - 0.5	< 4.2	0.8 ± 0.3	3.9 ± 1.
2705	1918	0.5 - 1.0	< 6.8	1.1 ± 0.2	2.0 ± 0.
2729	1873	0.0 - 0.5	< 5.1	0.7 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.
2729	1873	0.5 - 1.0	< 5.4	0.7 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.
730	1925	0.0 - 0.5	< 7.0	1.1 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0
2730	1925	0.5 - 1.0	< 8.8	2.0 ± 0.1	4.8 ± 0

Page 2 of 2

Coord	inatesa	Depth	Conce	entration (pCi/g ± 2 sigm	a)
East	North	(ft)	Uranium-238	Radium-226	Thorium-232
2738	1848	0.0 - 0.5	< 5.6	0.8 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.5
2738	1848	0.5 - 1.0	< 5.3	0.9 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.5
2750	1883	0.0 - 0.5	< 7.1	1.1 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.6
2750	1883	0.5 - 1.0	< 5.4	0.6 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.2
2751	1862	0.0 - 0.5	< 6.8	0.8 ± 0.2	2.1 ± 1.0
2751	1862	0.5 - 1.0	< 7.7	1.4 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.6
2751	1909	0.0 - 0.5	< 7.0	1.1 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 0.7
2751	1909	0.5 - 1.0	< 7.1	2.0 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.3

aSampling locations are shown in Figure 4-2.

TABLE 5-2

DOWNHOLE GAMMA LOGGING RESULTS

FOR 4 HANCOCK STREET

Page 1 of 5					
Coord:	<u>inates</u> a	Depthb	Count Rate ^C		
East	North	(ft)	(cpm)		
Borehole	≥ 2050R ^d				
2700	1958	0.5	19000		
2700	1958	1.0	38000		
2700	1958	1.5	46000		
2700	1958	2.0	60000		
2700	1958	2.5	52000		
2700	1958	3.0	40000		
2700	1958	3.5	33000		
2700	1958	4.0	25000		
2700	1958	4.5	29000		
2700	1958	5.0	31000		
2700	1958	5.5	35000		
2700	1958	6.0	32000		
Borehole	<u> 543R</u> d				
2704	1918	0.5	13000		
2704	1918	1.0	18000		
2704	1918	1.5	21000		
2704	1918	2.0	24000		
2704	1918	2.5	28000		
2704	1918	3.0	29000		
2704	1918	3.5	29000		
2704	1918	4.0	30000		
2704	1918	4.5	32000		
2704	1918	5.0	38000		
2704	1918	5.5	73000		
2704	1918	6.0	190000		
2704	1918	6.5	375000		
2704	1918	7.0	198000		
2704	1918	7.5	72000		
2704	1918	8.0	29000		

TABLE 5-2 (continued)

Page 2 of 5					
<u>Coordi</u>	nates ^a North	Depth ^b (ft)	Count Rate ^C (cpm)		
		(20)	(Opm)		
Borehole	539R ^d				
2729	1872	0.5	10000		
2729	1872	1.0	12000		
2729	1872	1.5	13000		
2729	1872	2.0	13000		
2729	1872	2.5	12000		
2729	1872	3.0	13000		
2729	1872	3.5	12000		
2729	1872	4.0	13000		
2729	1872	4.5	12000		
2729	1872	5.0	12000		
2729	1872	5.5	11000		
2729	1872	6.0	11000		
2729	1872	6.5	10000		
2729	1872	7.0	10000		
2729	1872	7.5	10000		
2729	1872	8.0	12000		
Borehole	538R ^d				
2730	1925	0.5	11000		
2730	1925	1.0	12000		
2730	1925	1.5	13000		
2730	1925	2.0	14000		
2730	1925	2.5	17000		
2730	1925	3.0	20000		
2730	1925	3.5	19000		
2730	1925	4.0	19000		
2730	1925	4.5	22000		
2730	1925	5.0	24000		
2730	1925	5.5	31000		
2730	1925	6.0	41000		
2730	1925	6.5	115000		
2730	1925	7.0	82000		
2730	1925	7.5	30000		
2730	1925	8.0	29000		
2730	1925	8.5	14000		
2730	1925	9.0	11000		

TABLE 5-2 (continued)

		(concruded))
Page 3 o	f 5		
Coordi	natesa	Depthb	Count Rate ^C
East	North	(ft)	(cpm)
Borehole	540R ^d		
2738	1845	0.5	8000
2738	1845	1.0	11000
2738	1845	1.5	12000
2738	1845	2.0	12000
2738	1845	2.5	13000
2738	1845	3.0	11000
2738	1845	3.5	10000
2738	1845	4.0	9000
2738	1845	4.5	9000
2738	1845	5.0	9000
2738	1845	5.5	10000
2738	1845	6.0	10000
2738 2738	1845 1845	6.5 7.0	10000 11000
2738 2738	1845	7.0 7.5	9000
2738	1845	8.0	7000
Borehole	542R ^đ		
2750	1883	0.5	9000
2750	1883	1.0	14000
2750	1883	1.5	15000
2750	1883	2.0	14000
2750	1883	2.5	13000
2750	1883	3.0	14000
2750	1883	3.5	12000
2750	1883	4.0	13000
2750	1883	4.5	12000
2750	1883	5.0	12000
2750	1883	5.5	9000
2750	1883	6.0 6.5	9000
2750 2750	1883 1883	6.5 7.0	9000 11000
2750 2750	1883	7.0 7.5	9000
2750 2750	1883	8.0	8000
2130	1003	0.0	0000

TABLE 5-2 (continued)

Page 4	of 5		
<u>Coord</u>	<u>inates^a</u> North	Depthb	Count Rate ^C
East	NOI CH	(ft)	(cpm)
Borehole	<u> 541R</u> đ		
2751	1862	0.5	8000
2751	1862	1.0	10000
2751	1862	1.5	13000
2751	1862	2.0	13000
2751	1862	2.5	12000
2751	1862	3.0	12000
2751	1862	3.5	13000
2751	1862	4.0	12000
2751	1862	4.5	13000
2751	1862	5.0	13000
2751	1862	5.5	12000
2751	1862	6.0	10000
2751	1862	6.5	9000
2751	1862	7.0	9000
2751	1862	7.5	8000
2751	1862	8.0	10000
Borehole	<u> 537R</u> ₫		
2751	1909	0.5	14000
2751	1909	1.0	14000
2751	1909	1.5	14000
2751	1909	2.0	12000
2751	1909	2.5	12000
2751	1909	3.0	12000
2751	1909	3.5	13000
2751	1909	4.0	16000
2751	1909	4.5	21000
2751	1909	5.0	21000
2751	1909	5.5	20000
2751	1909	6.0	23000
2751	1909	6.5	35000

TABLE 5-2 (continued)

B	_		-
Page	2	OI	- 5

Coord:	inates ^a	Depth ^b	Count Rate				
East	North	(ft)	(cpm)				
Borehole	e 537R (cont	inued)d					
2751	1909	7.0	17000				
2751	1909	7.5	12000				
2751	1909	8.0	9000				
0554	1909	8.5	9000				
2751							

^aBorehole locations are shown in Figure 4-1.

bThe variations in depths of boreholes and corresponding results given in this table are based on the boreholes penetrating the contamination or the drill reaching refusal.

CInstrument used was 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector.

dBottom of borehole collapsed.

TABLE 5-3

GAMMA RADIATION EXPOSURE RATES

FOR	4	HANCOCK	STREET

Coord	linates ^a	Rateb
East	North	(μR/h)
2713	1878	9
2713	1943	12
2740	1860	11
2748	1928	10
Interior	of Residence	9

^aMeasurement locations are shown in Figure 4-3.

bMeasurements include background.

REFERENCES

- 1. U.S. Department of Energy. <u>Description of the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program</u>, ORO-777, Oak Ridge, Tenn., September 1980 (as modified by DOE in October 1983).
- 2. Argonne National Laboratory. <u>Action Description</u>
 <u>Memorandum, Interim Remedial Actions at Maywood,</u>
 <u>New Jersey</u>, Argonne, Ill., March 1987.
- 3. Argonne National Laboratory. <u>Action Description</u>

 <u>Memorandum, Proposed 1984 Remedial Actions at Maywood,</u>

 <u>New Jersey</u>, Argonne, Ill., June 8, 1984.
- 4. Bechtel National, Inc. <u>Post-Remedial Action Report for the Lodi Residential Properties</u>, DOE/OR/20722-89, Oak Ridge, Tenn., August 1986.
- 5. NUS Corporation. <u>Radiological Study of Maywood</u>
 <u>Chemical, Maywood, New Jersey</u>, November 1983.
- 6. EG&G Energy Measurements Group. An Aerial Radiologic Survey of the Stepan Chemical Company and Surrounding Area, Maywood, New Jersey, NRC-8109, Oak Ridge, Tenn., September 1981.
- 7. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Results of the Mobile Gamma Scanning Activities in Lodi, New Jersey, ORNL/RASA-84/3, Oak Ridge, Tenn., October 1984.
- 8. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Results of the Radiological Survey at 4 Hancock Street (LJ060), Lodi, New Jersey, ORNL/RASA-88/25, Oak Ridge, Tenn., September 1989.

- 9. Thermo Analytical/Eberline. "Technical Review of FUSRAP Instrument Calibration by Comparison to TMC Calibration Pads," May 1989.
- 10. <u>U.S. Code of Federal Regulations</u>. 40 CFR 192, "Health and Environmental Protection Standards for Uranium and Thorium Mill Tailings," Washington, D.C., July 1986.
- National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. <u>Environmental Radiation Measurements</u>, NCRP Report No. 50, Washington, D.C., December 27, 1986.
- 12. Levin, S. G., R. K. Stoms, E. Kuerze, and W. Huskisson.
 "Summary of Natural Environmental Gamma Radiation Using
 a Calibrated Portable Scintillation Counter."

 Radiological Health Data Report 9:679-695 (1968).

APPENDIX A
GEOLOGIC DRILL LOGS FOR 4 HANCOCK STREET

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG PROJECT JOB NO. SHEET NO. HOLE NO. 14501-138 1 OF 1 2050R													
SITE COORDINATES ANGLE FROM													
4 Hancock St. (LODI) BEGUN COMPLETED DRILLER	N 1,958 E 2,700 PRILL MAKE AND MODEL SIZE OVERBURDER	Vertical											
11-16-8811-16-88 EMPIRE S													
CORE RECOVERY (FT./%) CORE BOXES SAMPLES EL. TOP CASING GROUND EL. DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER DEPTH/E													
	T IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH LOGGED BY:	/											
300 lb. / 24 in.	NONE J. LC	RD T											
SAMP. TYPE AND DIAH. SAMPLE REC. CORE REC. SAMPLE REC. SAMPLE REC. CORE REC.	SUITED DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFIC	NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.											
SS 1.5 1.5 14-8-5	0.0 - 0.5 Ft. ASPHALT & GRAVEL (Hancock St.)	0-3 ft. advanced											
SS 2.0 1.6 4-3-3-2 SS 2.0 0.5 5-3-3-4 SS 2.0 0.0 5-5-9 13	0.5 - 1.8 Ft. Silty SAND (S-SM). Moderate reddish brown (10R4/6). poorly sorted sand with silt and som gravel; <5%. Loose, no adhesion, m mineralogy. Sewage odor. 1.8 - 9.4 Ft. SAND (S). Light gray (N to light brownish gray (5YR6/1). L moist to wet with depth. Slight adhe Medium- to coarse-grained sand. Se odor.	using 3 in. i.d. split spoon samplers. Sampled and gamma-scanned by TMA-Eberline, Corroses, esion.											
SS 2.0 2.0 5-9-13 15	No recovery 4.5 - 8.0 Ft.												
	9.4 - 10.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM-SP). Grayish orange (10YR7/4). Saturat non-cohesive, medium- to fine-grain subrounded sand. Undisturbed mate	Top of undisturbed soil 9.4 Ft.											
		Description and classification of soils by visual examination of samples.											
S = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; SI = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; O = OTHER	4 Hancock St. (LODI)	HOLE NO. 2050R											

SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGHT/FALL CASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH LOGGED BY: N/A NONE D. McGRANE WATER PRESSURE TESTS TESTS DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION WATER PRESSURE TESTS A3.5 DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION WATER CHAPTER CHAPTER DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION WATER CHAPTER DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION WATER CHAPTER CHAPTER DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION WATER CHAPTER CHAPTE	TOTAL DE 12.0 TOP OF ROCK OTES ON: ATER LEVELS ATER RETURN HARACTER OF RILLING, ET Corehole drilled 0-12.0 Ft. using blid-stem augers ite checked for adioactive ontamination an ole gamma-logg y TMA-Eberline orp.
COMPLETED DRILLER DRILL MAKE AND MODEL SIZE OVERBURDEN ROCK (FT 16-86 11-6-86 MORETRENCH B&S. Little Beaver 4" 12.0 DEFINITION MORETRENCH SAS. LITTLE BEAVER 4" 12.0 DEFINITION MORETRENCH SAS. LITTLE BEAVER 9.5/34.0 11/6/86 DEPTH/EL. A3.5 PRESSURE TRESTS DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MORETRENCH NONE D. McGRANE DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MORETRENCH DESCRIPTION MORETRENCH	TOTAL DE 12.0 TOP OF ROCK OTES ON: ATER LEVELS ATER RETURN HARACTER OF RILLING, ET corehole drilled 0-12.0 Ft. using olid-stem augers ite checked for adioactive ontamination an ole gamma-logg y TMA-Eberline orp. 5 Ft., groundwa
1-6-86 11-6-86 MORETRENCH B&S Little Beaver 4" 12.0	OTES ON: ATER LEVELS ATER RETURN HARACTER OF RILLING, ET forehole drilled .0-12.0 Ft. using blid-stem augers ite checked for adioactive ontamination an ole gamma-logg y TMA-Eberline orp.
THE RECOVERY (FT./%) CORE BOXES SAMPLESEL. TOP CASING GROUND EL. PORTAGE A3.5. PETHYEL. 43.5. PETHYEL. GROUND MATER 9.5/34.0 11/6/86 D. McGRANE A3.5. PETHYEL. 9.5/34.0 11/6/86 D. McGRANE NONE D. McGRANE NONE DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOT MATER TESTS ELEU. A3.5. DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOT MATER TESTS ELEU. A3.5. DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOT MATER TESTS ELEU. A3.5. DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOT MATER TESTS A40.5. DESCRIPTION NOT MATER TESTS A40.5. DES	OTES ON: ATER LEVELS ATER RETURN HARACTER OF RILLING, ET corehole drilled .0-12.0 Ft. using blid-stem augers ite checked for adioactive ontamination an ole gamma-logg y TMA-Eberline orp. .5 Ft., groundwa
MATER NONE ASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH LOGGED BY: NOME DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MATER TESTS ELEU. DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MATER TESTS ELEU. AS.5 DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MATER TESTS DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MATER TESTS DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MATER TESTS AS.5 DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MATER TESTS DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MATER TESTS DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MATER TESTS AS.5 DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MATER TESTS DO.0-3.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill material. Multi-colored. Fine- to material. Multi-colored. Fine- to MATER TESTS DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOTICE TESTS DO.0-3.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill MATER TESTS	ATER LEVELS ATER RETURN HARACTER OF RILLING, ET forehole drilled .0-12.0 Ft. using blid-stem augers ite checked for adioactive ontamination an ole gamma-logg y TMA-Eberline orp. 5 Ft., groundwa
N/A WATER PRESSURE TESTS ELEU. LA L	ATER LEVELS ATER RETURN HARACTER OF RILLING, ET forehole drilled .0-12.0 Ft. using blid-stem augers ite checked for adioactive ontamination an ole gamma-logg y TMA-Eberline orp. 5 Ft., groundwa
40.5 40.0 40.5 40.0 40.5 40.0 40.5 40.0 5. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.	ATER LEVELS ATER RETURN HARACTER OF RILLING, ET forehole drilled .0-12.0 Ft. using blid-stem augers ite checked for adioactive ontamination an ole gamma-logg y TMA-Eberline orp. 5 Ft., groundwa
40.5 40.0 40.5 40.0 40.5 40.0 40.5 40.0 5. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.	ATER LEVELS ATER RETURN HARACTER OF RILLING, ET forehole drilled .0-12.0 Ft. using blid-stem augers ite checked for adioactive ontamination an ole gamma-logg y TMA-Eberline orp. 5 Ft., groundwa
40.5 40.0 40.5 40.0 40.5 40.0 40.5 40.0 5. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.	orehole drilled .0-12.0 Ft. using blid-stem augers ite checked for adioactive ontamination an ole gamma-logg y TMA-Eberline orp.
material. Multi-colored. Fine- to medium-grained with few to numerous pieces of rounded to angular gravel of various lithologies. Occasional cobbles. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), moist. 5.	.0-12.0 Ft. using olid-stem augers ite checked for adioactive ontamination an ole gamma-logg y TMA-Eberline orp.
clas sam	description and lassification of scamination.

	G	FO	LOG	ור ח	RII	110)G	PROJEC	T:				JOB NO	. SI	EET NO.	HOLE NO.
SIT			LUG	.C D	IVIL			TER			FUSRAP	·····		-138		539R
["	_	Ha	ncock	St. (T.	ODD	1	COORDINA	1159		N	1,872 E 2,72	90	1		ROM HORIZ rtical	BEARING
BEG			MPLETED				1	į			MAKE AND MODEL	SIZE	OVERBURDEN		CK (FT.)	TOTAL DEPTH
			1-6-8				RENCH		В&	<u>S</u>	Little Beaver	4"	9.0			9.0
COR	E REC	OVER'	((FT./3	() CORE	BOXE	SSAMPL	ESEL. TO	P CASI	NG	GR	OUND EL. DEPTH	/EL. GROU	ND WATER	DEPT	H/EL. TOP	OF ROCK
SAM	PLE H		WEIGHT	/FALL	CAS	ING LE			A./Li	ENG	GTH LOGGED BY:	······································	<u>.</u>		~~	
			N/A				NO	NE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			D. McG	RANE	<u> </u>	
SAMP. TYPE	SAMP. ADV.	SAMPLE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE RECOUERY	LOSS IN E.P. E	ESST: SSU- SSU- SSU- SSU- SSU- SSU- SSU- SSU	ŔE	ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION			ATION	WATER	ON: LEVELS, RETURN, CTER OF ING, ETC.
							34.5_	5			0.0 - 9.0 Ft. Sill (0.0-6.0) and material. Colomedium-grain pieces of round occasional cobin the fill mate (loose), someti 0.0-0.3 Ft. M Numerous grain 0.3-6.0 Ft. M organics, claye horison. 8.0-9.0 Ft. Di (10YR4/2). M Bottom of boreho Auger spoils were	ed with fee led to ang bles) of va serial. Soft mes clayer oderate brus roots an ark reddishoderate bry. May bark yellow fay be decoled at 9.0 Fee at 9.0	w to numero ular gravel (rious litholo, unconsolida y (SC-OH). rown (5YR3/d organics. h brown (10I) rown. A few buried upp ish brown omposed san	and gies atted Moist. 4). 83/4). eer soil	Borehol 0.0-9.0 solid-st Site che radioaci contami hole gai by TM/ Corp. No grou observe	e drilled Ft. using 4" em augers. cked for tive ination and nma-logged h-Eberline, andwater d.
			POON; S' ; P = P'			,	SITE		4	Н	ancock St.	(LOD	1)		HOLE NO	39R

THE CORE ACCUPENT (FI.7.2) CORE BOXES SAMPLES HEL. TOP CASHING ENGRAND EL. BEPTHYEL, ENGNING WATER PROPERTY AS A 13.8 SAMPLE MANUER WEIGHT/FALL CASING LEFT IN NOLE: DIA./LENGTH COGGED BY: NO. A NO. NO. BEPTHYEL CHOING WATER TESTS THE STORY OF THE STO	HOLE NO.	SHEET NO.	JOB NO. 14501-		RAP	F	, ' 	PROJE(G	L LO	RILI	CD	OGI)L	EC		
DRILLER DRILLER MORETRENCH B&S Little Beaver 4" 11.0 DRE RECOVERY (FT./A) COME BOXES SAMPLESEL. TOP CASING GROUD EL. DEPTH/EL GROUND MATER MAPLE RAMPER WEIGHT/FALL NONE DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MAPLE RAMPER WEIGHT/FALL NONE DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MOTES O DESCR		NGLE FROM HORIZ	ATT TO A CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF T														
ACTION OF BOXES SAMPLES ELLEU. MONE ENCOVERY (FI.7A) CORE BOXES SAMPLES ELLEU. MONE MONE BER RECOVERY (FI.7A) CORE BOXES SAMPLES ELLEU. MONE MONE BER RECOVERY (FI.7A) CORE BOXES SAMPLES ELLEU. MONE DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NONE DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOTES O LATER TESTS ELLEU. DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOTES O LATER TESTS BELEU. DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOTES O LATER TESTS TO -1.0 Ft. Moderate brown, and motiled grayinh black A few cobbies. NOTES O LATER TESTS TO -1.0 Ft. Moderate brown and motiled grayinh black A few cobbies. NOTES O LATER TESTS TO -1.0 Ft. Moderate brown and motiled grayinh black A few cobbies. NOTES O LATER TESTS TO -1.0 Ft. Moderate brown and motiled grayinh black A few cobbies. NOTES O LATER TEST			Promis Joseph Promise Commission														
DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MATER ASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH LOGGED BY: NONE D. McGRANE NOTES O MATER PRESSUR LATER DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOTES O MATER DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOTES O MATER DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOTES O MATER ASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH LOGGED BY: D. McGRANE NOTES O MATER ASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH LOGGED BY: D. McGRANE NOTES O MATER ASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH LOGGED BY: DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION NOTES O MATER ASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH LOGGED BY: D. McGRANE NOTES O MATER ANALYSIS AND (SM). Fill material. Multis-colored. Fine- to mention grants Soft, unconsolidated (locos), moist. D4.0 Ft. Moderate brown, and mottled graysh black. A few cobbies. Soft, unconsolidated (locos), moist. TO-5.0 Ft. SAND (SM-SC) DIA./LIONE SOFT MATERIAL MULTIS-COLOR MATER DESCRIPTION AND CSALAR HILL. Borthold Silver Sand (Locos), moist. TO-6.3 Ft. Moderate brown, and mottled graysh black. A few cobbies. May be already as the same sediments. Soft, unconsolidated (locos), moist. TO-6.7 Ft. SAND (SM-SC) DESCRIPTION AND CSALAR HILL. Soft, unconsolidated (locos), moist. TO-6.7 Ft. SAND (SM-SC) DESCRIPTION AND CSALAR HILL. Borthold Silver Sand (Locos), moist. TO-6.7 Ft. SAND (SM-SC) DESCRIPTION AND CSALAR HILL. Soft, unconsolidated (locos), moist. TO-6.7 Ft. SAND (SM-SC) DESCRIPTION AND CSALAR HILL. Soft, unconsolidated (locos), moist. TO-6.7 Ft. SAND (SM-SC) DESCRIPTION AND CSALAR HILL. BORDHOIS COLOR HILL. BOLD HILL. DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION MOTES OLOR HILL. BOLD HILL. DATER D. MOTES OLOR HILL. BOLD HILL	TOTAL DEF	ROCK (FI.)		1					ENCH	RETR							
WOLE HAMMER MEIGHT/FALL N/A NONE D. McGRANE NOTES		DEPTH/EL. TOP		EL GROUND	DEPTH/		NG K	P CASI									
N/A NONE D. McGRANE PRESSIE TESTS ELEU. THE TESTS THE THE TESTS THE THE TESTS THE THE TESTS THE	/	/		1/6/86	達 / 1				<u>· </u>		== :				/		
LANGE OF THE STAND CASSIFICATION WATER RESIDENT TESTS DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION WATER RECHARACT DRILLIN MATER RECHARACT CRILLIN MATER RECHARACT		ANE OF	D. McGR		ED BY:	NGTH L	A./LE			ING LE	CAS	/FALL				LE H	WF
39.8 59.8 59.8 5. 5. 6. 6. 6. 7.0 - 0. 9. F. Moderate brown, and motiled grayish black (N2). 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 1												_ l.				٠١	1
59.8 59.8 59.8 50.0-13.P. Moderate brown and mottled gravish block of poor in the to coarse-grained. Very low density. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), moist. 7.0-11.Pt. Sinty SAND (SM-SC). 10-11.OFt. Sinty SAND (SM-SC). 10-11.OFt. Sinty SAND (SM-SC). 52.8 52.8 53.8 54.0-11.OFt. Moderate brown and mottled gravish block of poorly consolidated (loose), moist. 7.0-8.OFt. Black (N1). Fine to coarse-grained. Very low density. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), moist. 7.0-11.OFt. Sinty SAND (SM-SC). 10-11.OFt. Sinty SAND (SM-SC). 10-11.	ON: LEVELS, RETURN, CTER OF ING, ET	TION WATER WATER CHARAC					GRAPHICS	нтаза		3	ESTS	LOSS IN G.P.M	RECOVERY	SAMPLE	SAMPLE REC	SAMP. ADV	AND DIAM
Black (N1). Fine- to coarse-grained. Very low density. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), moist. 7.0 - 11.0 Ft. Sity SAND (SM-SC). Undisturbed, natural material. Color stratified. Fine- to medium-grained. Soft, poorly consolidated (loose), moist. 7.0-8.0 Ft. Black (N1), clayey, numerous organics. May be stream sediments. 8.0-11.0 Ft. May be stream sediments. 8.0-11.0 Ft. May be stream sediments. Bottom of borehole at 11.0 Ft. Auger spoils were replaced in the hole, 11/6/86. Description classification of the stream sediments of the stream sediments. Description of borehole at 11.0 Ft. Auger spoils were replaced in the hole, 11/6/86.	0 Ft. using tem augers.	0.0-11.0 solid-steel). Site che radioact contami hole gan by TMA	to numerou lar gravel of onal cobbles e), moist. wn (5YR3/4 organics. wn, and mot	d with few ed to angul ies. Occasion lated (loose derate brown roots and derate brown N2).	um-graine s of round us litholog unconsolid .3 Ft. Mo erous grass .0 Ft. Mo sh black (m pi va So 0.0 N		5_									
Bottom of borehole at 11.0 Ft. Auger spoils were replaced in the hole, 11/6/86. Descriptio classificati samples by	ed. :., auger	observed ott. 11.0 Ft. rous refusal.	se-grained. olidated (loc SM-SC). erial. Color im-grained. (loose), mois layey, nume: sediments.	y SAND (S atural mater to mediunsolidated (ack (N1), cl be stream oderate by	o (N1). Fire lensity. So the sturbed in lifed. Fine poorly cor to Ft. Blancs. May	7.0 - U		10_			i						
classificati			t.	e at 11.0 F	of borehol	Botto											
S = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; SITE HOLE NO.	cation of so s by visual action.	classific samples examins							TE								

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG FUSRAP 14501-138 1														ET NO. OF 1	HOLE NO. 540R	
ITE				~			COORDINA	ATES							OH HORIZBEARING	
EGL			ncock			<u> </u>	<u> </u>				,845 E 2,738			Vert		
			1-6-8	1		DETD	ENCH				**	SIZE	OVERBURDEN	ROCK	(FT.)	TOTAL DEP
							ESEL. TO	P CAS	ING I		Little Beaver JND EL. DEPTH/	4"	9.0	DEDTH	/FI TOP	9.0 OF ROCK
		1					.					/36.5 11	76/86	[/	, ROCK
AMF	PLE H		R WEIGHT	/FALL	CAS	SING LE	FT IN HO		A./LE	NGT	H LOGGED BY:	• • •	D. McGF	RANE (PR	
J.	3				ATE					T					70	
AND DIAM.	SAMP. ADV.	SAMPLE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE	LOSS IN G. P. M.	PRESS. 15.83	TIME SE	ELEV.	ОЕРТН	GRAPHICS		DESCRIPTION			ATION	WATER CHARA	ON: LEVELS, RETURN, CTER OF ING, ETC
							34.5_	5 - 7			0.0 - 9.0 Ft. Silty (0.0-3.5) and in material. Color medium-graine pieces of rounde occasional cobb in the fill mater (loose), sometin to saturated at 0.0-0.3 Ft. Mon Numerous grass 0.3-3.5 Ft. Dan 3.5-9.0 Ft. Dan with a greenish clay matrix. M Bottom of borehold Auger spoils were in	a stratified of with few and to angules) of waital. Soft, nees clayey 7.0 Ft. derate briggers and the reddish rk yellowich hue from ay be deces at 9.0 F	own (5YR3/d organics.) brown (10R sh brown (10R s.5 to 6.5 F) omposed san t.	and pies ted Moist 4). 25/4). 27R4/2) b. A. distone.	Descripclassific	e drilled Ft. using 4' em augers. cked for inveination and nma-logged -Eberline, groundwate
															examina	
			POON; S' ; P = P			,	ITE		4 1	Ha	ncock St.	(LOD	I)		HOLE NO	40R

	G	EC	LOG	IC D	RIL	L LC)G	PROJE	CT		FUCDAD		JOB NO	1	ET NO.	HOLE NO.
SII							COORDINA	ATES	·-·		FUSRAP	· · ·		-138 1	OF 1	542R
		Hai	ncock S	St. (L	ODI))				N	1,883 E 2,75	50			tical	
BEC			MPLETED	1							MAKE AND MODEL	1	OVERBURDEN	ROC	K (FT.)	TOTAL DEPTH
			1-6-86				ESEL. TO	P CAS			Little Beaver	/EL. GROUN	11.0	hentu	I/EL. TOP	11.0
		_/					•				43.7 ₹ %	5/34.2 11	/6/86	DEFIR	/EL. 10P	Ur ROCK
SAN	IPLE H		R WEIGHT	/FALL	CAS	SING LE			A./L	EN	GTH LOGGED BY:				\wedge	₩ ₩
ш			N/A	1	JATER		NO!	NE	T	П			D. McG	RANE	<u> </u>	
YTYP		E C	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE RECOVERY	PR	ESSU	RE		₌	SS	ш					NOTES	ON:
		Щ <u>.</u>	폭 ^값 있으	mΣ		1	ELEV.	DEPTH	품	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION	4 AND CL	_assific	ATION	WATER	LEVELS,
SAMP		FR	SO ME	LOSS IN G.P.M	PRESS. P. S. I.	HAY.		2	GRAPHICS	SA						RETURN, CTER OF
80	- W	S C	8	7 6	āa		43.7			$\!$		14 (1.4.2577)	(0) () B::		DRILL	ING, ETC.
								.		$\ \ $	0.0 - 11.0 Ft. Si (0.0-8.0) and i material. Colo	ndigenous	(SM). Fill (8.0-11.0)			
											medium-grains	ed with few	y to mumero	นะ	0.0-11.0	e drilled Ft. using 4"
		ŀ						.			pieces of round occasional cobl in the fill mate	ples) of Asi	ilar gravel (rious litholo	Sies sug	1	em augers.
	-							.			(loose), someti:	mes clayey	(SC-OH).	Moist	radioact	
								5_		$\ \cdot \ $	to saturated at		(erro e		hole gar	nation and nma-logged
					l						0.0-0.3 Ft. Mo Numerous gras	s roots and	i organics.	4).	Corp.	k-Eberline,
											0.3-8.0 Ft. Da and mottled m	ark reddish oderate br	brown (101 own. Claye	R3/4) y.		
											8.0-10.0 Ft. M	Aoderate bi	rown. Claye	ey. May		
								10_			be buried uppe	er soil horis	ion.		9.5 Ft., observed	groundwater
							82.7_			\parallel	10.0-11.0 Ft. (10YR4/2). M	Dark yellov Iay be deco	wish brown emposed san	dstone.		
											Bottom of boreho Auger spoils were	le at 11.0 F	Ft. n hole, 11-6	-86.		
						1										
ł	1				l											
	1				l											
					ĺ					Ш					-	
Ì																
]			П						
1																
			,		l]			$\ $						
	1	,						1								
1]									
					i			}		$\ $						
					l		1									
1					1											
					1			1		$\ $.•	Descript	ion and ation of soil
							1									by visual
					1										-commit	
					1		1			$\ $						
	1				1											
			POON; ST			, ,	ITE		4	<u> </u>	ancock St.	(LODI	1)	,	HOLE NO	42R

	G	EC	LOG	C D	RIL	L LO	G	PROJE	CI	FUSRAP		JOB NO. 14501-	SHE 138 1	ET NO. OF 1	HOLE NO. 541R
ITE		**		· /T			COORDINA	TES			7.0		NGLE FR	OM HORIZ	1
GU			MPLETED			·	<u> </u>			1,862 E 2,751 UAKE AND MODEL SI	12E K	OVERBURDEN	Vert	ical (FT.)	TOTAL DEP
		- 1	1-6-86			RETR	ENCH			Little Beaver	4"	9.0	ROCK	(61.)	9.0
							ESEL. TO	CAS			1		DEPTH.	/EL. TOP	OF ROCK
		_/			12.	1				43.6 ₹ /				/	·
MP	LE K		R WEIGHT N/A	/FALL	CAS	SING LE			IA./LEN	GTH LOGGED BY:		D. McGR	ABIE		એ
,	•1			1	JATE	2	NOI	Y Z	1			D. MCGR	ANE	, 	2
DIAM.	SAMP, ADU.	REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE RECOVERY	PRESSURE TESTS			I	GRAPHICS SAMPLE		•			NOTES	ON:	
ä,	18	Ш.	<u> </u>	SE	wi:		ELEV.	DEPTH	RAPHIC	DESCRIPTION A	AND CL	assifica	HOITE	WATER	LEVELS,
io Ze	퉦	SAMPLE CORE F	RINE S	LOSS IN G.P.M	PRES P.S.	HAN I		ä	A B					CHARAC	RETURN, CTER OF
ĵŒ	<u> </u>	မ္မပ	8 4	-3 6	44	F 1	43.6			0.0 0.0 0.0	1 4 5 TO 7 CO			DRILL:	ING, ETC
										0.0 - 9.0 Ft. Silty S (0.0-6.0) and indi	igenous (6.0-9.0)		<u> </u>	
									11:11	material. Color a medium-grained	with few	to numerou	ı s ,	0.0-9.0	e drilled Ft. using 4"
										pieces of rounded occasional cobbler in the fill materia	of vari	ous litholog	na ies	1	em augers.
						{				(loose), sometimes	s clayey	(SC-OH).	Moist.	radioact	cked for live ination and
ł		1] :		5.		0.0-0.3 Ft. Mode Numerous grass re	erate bro	wn (5YR3/4	i).	hole gar	mation and nma-logged L-Eberline,
									-[[]	0.3-6.0 Ft. Dark			3/4)	Corp.	r -moetime,
									-[] [] [6.0-7.5 Ft. Mode		•	∪, ± j.	:	
				!					4 # 1	organics. May be horison.					
							34.6_			7.5-9.0 Ft. Dark	vellowisi	h heawn (10	VR4/2\[-	
										with a greenish hube decomposed si	ue. A cla	ay matrix.	May]	l	ındwater
ļ										or accomposed in		··		observe	
										Bottom of borehole a Auger spoils were rep			-86.		
										-112gor openie word roj	,			ļ	
ł									1 1						
									1 11						
										•				İ	
ĺ								ľ							
												,			

						1			$\ \ $					Descrip	tion and
						-								classific	ation of soil by visual
														examina	
لـ				L		<u> </u>	175		11	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			HOLE NO	····
			POON; ST ; P = PI			,	ITE			ancock St. (I				HOLE NO	41R

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG		SHEET NO. HOLE NO.
	FUSRAP 14501-138	1 OF 1 537R FROM HORIZBEARING
4 Hancock St. (LODI)	The state of the s	/ertical
BEGUN COMPLETED DRILLER	DRILL MAKE AND MODEL SIZE OVERBURDEN	ROCK (FT.) TOTAL DEPTH
11-6-86 11-6-86 MORETRENC		12.0
CORE RECOVERY (FT./%) CORE BOXES SAMPLES EL.	7 11 0/22 0 11/4/04	EPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK
	HOLE: DIA./LENGTH LOGGED BY:	
	D. McGRAN	E 972
SAMP DIAN. SAMPLE REC. TIME RECOVERY TIME REC. T	9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.
3	0.0 - 4.5 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill material. Multi-colored. Fine- to medium-grained with few to numerous pieces of rounded to angular gravel of various lithologies. Occasional cobbles. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), moist. 0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderate brown (5YR3/4). Numerous grass roots and organics. 0.3-4.5 Ft. Dark reddish brown (10R3/4) and mottled yellowish brown (10YR2/4). 4.5 - 6.0 Ft. SAND. Coal ash fill. Black (N1). Fine- to coarse-grained. Very low density. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), moist. 6.0 - 12.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM-SC). Undisturbed, natural material. Color stratified. Fine- to medium-grained. Soft, poorly consolidated (loose). Moist to saturated at 11.0 Ft.	Borehole drilled 0.0-12.0 Ft. using 4" solid-stem augers. Site checked for radioactive contamination and hole gamma-logged by TMA-Eberline, Corp. 11.0 Ft., groundwate: observed.
SS = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; SITE D = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; O = OTHER	4 Hancock St. (LODI)	HOLE NO. 537R