063482-24

DOE/OR/20722-247 M-081

Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) Contract No. DE-AC05-810R20722

RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION REPORT FOR THE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY AT 9 HANCOCK STREET

Lodi, New Jersey

September 1989



Bechtel National, Inc.

 $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{T}}$

Bechtel National, Inc.

Systems Engineers — Constructors

Jackson Plaza Tower 800 Oak Ridge Turnpike Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Mail Address: P.O. Box 350. Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0350 Telex: 3785873



U.S. Department of Energy Oak Ridge Operations Post Office Box 2001 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-8723

Attention: Robert G. Atkin Technical Services Division

Subject:

ect: Bechtel Job No. 14501, FUSRAP Project DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-810R20722 Publication of Radiological Characterization Report for seventeen residential properties, four municipa properties, and seven commercial properties in Lodi and Maywood, New Jersey Code: 7315/WBS: 138

Dear Mr. Atkin:

Enclosed is one copy each of the 28 subject published reports for the properties listed in Attachment 1. These reports incorporate all comments received in this review cycle (CCNs 063165, 063327, 062285, and 061568) and are being published with approval of Steve Oldham, as reported in CCN 063868.

Also enclosed (as Attachment 2) is a proposed distribution list for these reports. Please send us any changes to the proposed distribution list at your earliest convenience so we may distribute the reports.

BNI would like to express our thanks to Mr. Oldham for his cooperation and efforts to review these drafts in an accelerate manner. His efforts have allowed us to publish these reports or schedule. If you have any questions about these documents, please call me at 576-4718.

Very truly yours,

Nousa

R. C. Robertson Project Manager - FUSRAP

RCR:wfs:1756x Enclosure: As stated

cc: J. D. Berger, ORAU (w/e)
 N. J. Beskid, ANL (w/e)

CONCURRENCE

DOE/OR/20722-247

RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION REPORT FOR THE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY AT 9 HANCOCK STREET LODI, NEW JERSEY

È.

١.

P

SEPTEMBER 1989

Prepared for

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OAK RIDGE OPERATIONS OFFICE Under Contract No. DE-AC05-810R20722

By

N. C. Ring, D. J. Whiting, and W. F. Stanley Bechtel National, Inc. Oak Ridge, Tennessee Bechtel Job No. 14501

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I

ľ

I

None of the other

. 1

I

é.,

			<u>Page</u>
List	of F	'igures	iv
List	of T	'ables	iv
Abbr	eviat	ions	v
1.0	Intr	oduction and Summary	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Purpose	3
	1.3	Summary	3
	1.4	Conclusions	5
2.0	Site	History	7
	2.1	Previous Radiological Surveys	8
	2.2	Remedial Action Guidelines	9
•			
3.0	Heal	th and Safety Plan	12
	3.1	Subcontractor Training	12
	3.2	Safety Requirements	12
4.0	Char	acterization Procedures	14
	4.1	Field Radiological Characterization	14
,		4.1.1 Measurements Taken and Methods Used	14
		4.1.2 Sample Collection and Analysis	17
	4.2	Building Radiological Characterization	19
5 0	Char	actorization Deculte	22
5.0	5 1	Field Padiological Characterization	22
	5 2	Building Padiological Characterization	26
	5.6	Durining Radiological Characterization	20
Refe	rence	s	35
Appe	ndix	A - Geologic Drill Logs for 9 Hancock Street	A-1

ii

LIST OF FIGURES

ĺ

Minore .

Ī

|

Figure	Title	Page
1-1	Location of Lodi Vicinity Properties	2
1-2	Location of 9 Hancock Street	4
4-1	Borehole Locations at 9 Hancock Street	16
4-2	Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Locations at 9 Hancock Street	18
4-3	Gamma Exposure Rate Measurement Locations at 9 Hancock Street	21
5-1	Areas of Subsurface Contamination at 9 Hancock Street	25

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	Title	<u>Page</u>
2-1	Summary of Residual Contamination Guidelines for the Lodi Vicinity Properties	10
5-1	Surface and Subsurface Radionuclide Concentrations in Soil for 9 Hancock Street	28
5-2	Downhole Gamma Logging Results for 9 Hancock Street	29
5-3	Gamma Radiation Exposure Rates for 9 Hancock Street	34

ABBREVIATIONS

ł

ĺ

No.

- Participation

「「「「「「」」」」

ĺ.

cm	centimeter
cm^2	square centimeter
cpm	counts per minute
dpm	disintegrations per minute
ft	foot
h	hour
in.	inch
km ²	square kilometer
L	liter
L/min	liters per minute
m	meter
m ²	square meter
MeV	million electron volts
$\mu R/h$	microroentgens per hour
mi	mile
mi ²	square mile
min	minute
mrad/h	millirad per hour
mrem	millirem
mrem/yr	millirem per year
pCi/g	picocuries per gram
pCi/L	picocuries per liter
WL	working level
yd	yard
vd ³	cubic vard

iv

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

1.1 INTRODUCTION

ŧ

Ţ

.

Long and

Å

ł

ľ

İ١

This section provides a brief description of the history and background of the Maywood site and its vicinity properties. Data obtained from the radiological characterization of this vicinity property are also presented.

The 1984 Energy and Water Appropriations Act authorized the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) to conduct a decontamination research and development project at four sites, including the site of the former Maywood Chemical Works (now owned by the Stepan Company) and its vicinity properties. The work is being administered under the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program under the direction of the DOE Division of Facility and Site Decommissioning Projects. Several residential, commercial, and municipal properties in Lodi, New Jersey, are included in FUSRAP as vicinity properties. Figure 1-1 shows the location of the Lodi vicinity properties in relation to the former Maywood Chemical Works.

The U.S. Government initiated FUSRAP in 1974 to identify, clean up, or otherwise control sites where low-activity radioactive contamination (exceeding current guidelines) remains from the early years of the nation's atomic energy program or from commercial operations that resulted in conditions Congress has mandated that DOE remedy (Ref. 1).

FUSRAP is currently being managed by DOE Oak Ridge Operations. As the Project Management Contractor for FUSRAP, Bechtel National, Inc. (BNI) is responsible to DOE for planning, managing, and implementing FUSRAP.



1

4

\$

Į.,

1

t

FIGURE 1-1 LOCATION OF LODI VICINITY PROPERTIES

1.2 <u>PURPOSE</u>

The purpose of the 1986 survey performed by BNI was to locate the horizontal and vertical boundaries of radionuclide concentrations exceeding remedial action guidelines.

1.3 <u>SUMMARY</u>

Ē

I

ł

Ĺ

Í

1 i

This report details the procedures and results of the radiological characterization of the property at 9 Hancock Street (Figure 1-2) in Lodi, New Jersey, which was conducted in November and December 1986.

Ultimately, the data generated during the radiological characterization will be used to define the complete scope of remedial action necessary to release the site.

This characterization confirmed that thorium-232 is the primary radioactive contaminant at this property. Results of surface soil samples for 9 Hancock Street showed maximum concentrations of thorium-232 and radium-226 to be 3.6 and 1.5 pCi/g, respectively. The maximum concentration of uranium-238 in surface soil samples was less than 8.3 pCi/g.

Subsurface soil sample concentrations ranged from 1.8 to 3.5 pCi/g for thorium-232 and from 0.5 to 1.5 pCi/g for radium-226. The average background level in this area for both radium-226 and thorium-232 is 1.0 pCi/g. The concentrations of uranium-238 in subsurface soil samples ranged from less than 6.3 to less than 14.1 pCi/g. Because the major contaminants at the vicinity properties are thorium and radium, the decontamination guidelines provide the appropriate guidance for the cleanup activities. DOE believes that these guidelines are conservative for

FIGURE 1-2 LOCATION OF 9 HANCOCK STREET

considering potential adverse health effects that might occur in the future from any residual contamination. The dose contributions from uranium and any other radionuclides not numerically specified in these guidelines are not expected to be significant following decontamination. In addition, the vicinity properties will be decontaminated in a manner so as to reduce future doses to levels that are as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) (Ref. 2).

Soil analysis data for this property did not indicate surface contamination. Subsurface investigation by gamma logging indicated contamination to a depth of 1.37 m (4.5 ft).

Exterior gamma radiation exposure rates ranged from 11 to 14 μ R/h, including background. The indoor measurement showed a rate of 6 μ R/h, including background.

The radon-222 measurements inside the residence indicated concentrations of 0.2 and 0.9 pCi/L, respectively, which are within the DOE guideline of 3.0 pCi/L.

Measurements for radon daughters ranged from 0.0003 to 0.008 working level (WL), and measurements for thoron daughters ranged from 0.002 to 0.004 WL.

All data tables for this property appear at the end of this report.

1.4 <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

ŀ

Ŀ

Ł

ŀ

terrise and

1

₹

No. of the local sector

Married Works

L

L.

Evaluation of data collected, analyses performed, and historical documentation reviewed indicates the presence of radiological contamination on the property located at 9 Hancock Street. This contamination is primarily subsurface contamination ranging from a depth of 1.22 m (4.0 ft) to

1.37 m (4.5 ft). In addition, the contamination appears to extend beneath the residence. There is an isolated area of subsurface contamination in the northeast corner of the property near the street. The total affected area is estimated to be approximately 15 percent of the property. These conclusions are supported by documentation that establishes the presence of the former channel of Lodi Brook in this area. This channel is the suspected transport mechanism for the radiological contamination.

-

HI-AND COL

The Maywood Chemical Works was founded in 1895. The company began processing thorium from monazite sand in 1916 (during World War I) for use in manufacturing gas mantles for various lighting devices. The company continued this work until 1956. Process wastes from manufacturing operations were pumped to two areas surrounded by earthen dikes on property west of the plant. Subsequently, some of the contaminated wastes migrated onto adjacent and vicinity properties.

In 1928 and again between 1944 and 1946, some of the residues from the processing operations were moved from the company's property and used as mulch and fill in nearby low-lying areas. The fill material consisted of tea and coca leaves mixed with other material resulting from operations at the plant. Some fill material apparently contained thorium process wastes (Ref. 3).

Ĩ

Í.

1.

Uncertainty exists as to how the properties in Lodi were contaminated. According to an area resident, fill from an unknown source was brought to Lodi and spread over large portions of the previously low-lying and swampy area. For several reasons, however, a more plausible explanation is that the contamination migrated along a drainage ditch originating on the Maywood Chemical Works property. First, it can be seen from photographs and tax maps of the area that the course of a previously existing stream known as Lodi Brook, which originated at the former Maywood Chemical Works, generally coincides with the path of contamination in Lodi. The brook was subsequently replaced by a storm drain system as the area was developed. Second, samples taken from Lodi properties indicate elevated concentrations of a series of elements known as rare earths. Rare earth elements are

typically found in monazite sands, which also contain thorium. This type of sand was feedstock at the Maywood Chemical Works, and elevated levels are known to exist in the by-product of the extraction process. Third, the ratio of thorium to other radionuclides found on these Lodi properties is comparable to the ratio found in contaminated material on other properties in Lodi (Ref. 4). And finally, long-time residents of Lodi recalled chemical odors in and around the brook in Lodi and steam rising off the water. These observations suggest that discharges of contaminants occurred upstream.

The Stepan Chemical Company (now called the Stepan Company) purchased Maywood Chemical Works in 1959. The Stepan Company itself has never been involved in the manufacture or processing of any radioactive materials (Ref. 5).

2.1 PREVIOUS RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS

Numerous surveys of the Maywood site and its vicinity properties have been conducted. Among the past surveys, three that are pertinent to this vicinity property are detailed in this section.

January 1981--The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) directed that a survey be conducted of the Stepan Company property and its vicinity properties in January 1981. Using the Stepan Company plant as the center, a 10.3-km² (4-mi²) aerial survey was conducted by the EG&G Energy Measurements Group, which identified anomalous concentrations of thorium-232 to the north and south of the Stepan Company property. The Lodi vicinity properties were included in this survey (Ref. 6).

June 1984--In June 1984, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) conducted a "drive-by" survey of Lodi using its "scanning van." Although not comprehensive, the survey indicated areas requiring further investigation (Ref. 7).

<u>September 1986</u>--At the request of DOE, ORNL conducted radiological surveys of the vicinity properties in Lodi in September 1986 to determine which properties contained radioactive contamination in excess of DOE guidelines and would, therefore, require remedial action (Ref. 8).

2.2 <u>REMEDIAL ACTION GUIDELINES</u>

1

1

Table 2-1 summarizes the DOE guidelines for residual contamination. The thorium-232 and radium-226 limits listed in Table 2-1 will be used to determine the extent of remedial action required at the vicinity properties. DOE developed these guidelines to be consistent with the guidelines established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Program.

TABLE 2-1 SUMMARY OF RESIDUAL CONTAMINATION GUIDELINES

BASIC DOSE LIMITS

The basic limit for the annual radiation dose received by an individual member of the general public is 100 mrem/yr.

SOIL GUIDELINES

Radionuciide	Soil Concentration (pCi/g) Above Background ^{a,b,c}
Radium-226	5 pCi/g when averaged over the first 15 cm of soil below
Radium-228	the surface; 15 pCi/g when averaged over any 15-cm-thick
Thorium-230	soil layer below the surface layer.
Thorium-232	
Other Radionuclides	Soil guidelines will be calculated on a site-specific basis using the DOE manual developed for this use.
STRUCTURE GUIDELINES	

Airborne Radon Decay Products

Generic guidelines for concentrations of airborne radon decay products shall apply to existing occupied or habitable structures on private property that has no radiological restrictions on its use; structures that will be demolished or buried are excluded. The applicable generic guideline (40 CFR 192) is: In any occupied or habitable building, the objective of remedial action shall be, and reasonable effort shall be made to achieve, an annual average (or equivalent) radon decay product concentration (including background) not to exceed 0.02 WL^d. In any case, the radon decay product concentration (including background) shall not exceed 0.03 WL. Remedial actions are not required in order to comply with this guideline when there is reasonable assurance that residual radioactive materials are not the cause.

External Gamma Radiation

The average level of gamma radiation inside a building or habitable structure on a site that has no radiological restrictions on its use shall not exceed the background level by more than 20 µR/h.

Indoor/Outdoor Structure Surface Contamination

	Allowable Surface Residual Contamination [®] (dpm/100 cm ²)		
Radionuclide [†]	Average ^{g,h}	Maximum ^{h,i}	Removable ^{h,j}
Transuranics, Ra-226, Ra-228, Th-230, Th-228 Pa-231, Ac-227, I-125, I-129	100	300	20
Th-Natural, Th-232, Sr-90, Ra-223, Ra-224 U-232, I-126, I-131, I-133	1,000	3,000	200
U-Natural, U-235, U-238, and associated decay products	5,000 α	15,000 α	1,000 α
Beta-gamma emitters (radionuclides with decay modes other than alpha emission or spontaneous fission) except Sr-90 and others noted above	5,000 B - γ	15,000 8 - γ	1,000 B - γ

ŧ.

Ĩ.

TABLE 2-1 (CONTINUED)

These guidelines take into account ingrowth of radium-226 from thorium-230 and of radium-228 from thorium-232, and assume secular equilibrium. If either thorium-230 and radium-226 or thorium-232 and radium-228 are both present, not in secular equilibrium, the guidelines apply to the higher concentration. If other mixtures of radionuclides occur, the concentrations of individual radionuclides shall be reduced so that 1) the dose for the mixtures will not exceed the basic dose limit, or 2) the sum of ratios of the soil concentration of each radionuclide to the allowable limit for that radionuclide will not exceed 1 ("unity").

^bThese guidelines represent allowable residual concentrations above background averaged across any 15-cm-thick layer to any depth and over any contiguous 100-m² surface area.

^CLocalized concentrations in excess of these limits are allowable, provided that the average concentration over a 100-m² area does not exceed these limits. In addition, every reasonable effort shall be made to remove any source of radionuclide that exceeds 30 times the appropriate soil limit, regardless of the average concentration in the soil.

^dA working level (WL) is any combination of short-lived radon decay products in 1 liter of air that will result in the ultimate emission of 1.3 x 105 MeV of potential alpha energy.

^eAs used in this table, dpm (disintegrations per minute) means the rate of emission by radioactive material as determined by correcting the counts per minute observed by an appropriate detector for background, efficiency, and geometric factors associated with the instrumentation.

^bWhere surface contamination by both alpha- and beta-gamma-emitting radionuclides exists, the limits established for alpha- and beta-gamma-emitting radionuclides should apply independently.

^gMeasurements of average contamination should not be averaged over more than 1 m². For objects of less surface area, the average shall be derived for each such object.

^hThe average and maximum radiation levels associated with surface contamination resulting from beta-gamma emitters should not exceed 0.2 mrad/h and 1.0 mrad/h, respectively, at 1 cm.

The maximum contamination level applies to an area of not more than 100 cm².

³The amount of removable radioactive material per 100 cm² of surface area should be determined by wiping that area with dry filter or soft absorbent paper, applying moderate pressure, and measuring the amount of radioactive material on the wipe with an appropriate instrument of known efficiency. When removable contamination on objects of surface area less than 100 cm² is determined, the activity per unit area should be based on the actual area and the entire surface should be wiped. The numbers in this column are maximum amounts.

3.0 HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN

BNI is responsible for protecting the health of personnel assigned to work at the site. As such, all subcontractors and their personnel were required to comply with the provisions of BNI health and safety requirements and as directed by the on-site BNI Health and Safety Officer.

3.1 SUBCONTRACTOR TRAINING

Before the start of work, all subcontractor personnel attended an orientation session presented by the BNI Health and Safety Officer to explain the nature of the material to be encountered in the work and the personnel monitoring and safety measures that are required.

3.2 SAFETY REQUIREMENTS

Subcontractor personnel complied with the following BNI requirements:

- Bioassay--Subcontractor personnel submitted bioassay samples before or at the beginning of on-site activity, upon completion of the activity, and periodically during site activities as requested by BNI.
- Protective Clothing/Equipment--Subcontractor personnel were required to wear the protective clothing/equipment specified in the subcontract or as directed by the BNI Health and Safety Officer.
- Dosimetry--Subcontractor personnel were required to wear and return daily the dosimeters and monitors issued by BNI.
- Controlled Area Access/Egress--Subcontractor personnel and equipment entering areas where access and egress were controlled for radiation and/or chemical safety purposes were surveyed by the BNI Health and Safety Officer (or personnel representing BNI) for contamination before leaving those areas.

 Medical Surveillance--Upon written direction from BNI, subcontractor personnel who work in areas where hazardous chemicals might exist were given a baseline and periodic health assessment defined in BNI's Medical Surveillance Program.

Radiation and/or chemical safety surveillance of all activities related to the scope of work was under the direct supervision of personnel representing BNI.

Ł

ł

ł

Health and safety-related requirements for all activities involving exposure to radiation, radioactive material, chemicals, and/or chemically contaminated materials and other associated industrial safety hazards are generated in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and industry-wide standards. Copies of these requirements are located at the BNI project office for use by project personnel.

4.0 CHARACTERIZATION PROCEDURES

A master grid was established by the surveyor. BNI's radiological support subcontractor, Thermo Analytical/Eberline (TMA/E), established a grid on individual properties. The size of the grid blocks was adjusted to characterize each property adequately. The grid origin allows the grid to be reestablished during remedial action and is correlated with the New Jersey state grid system. All data correspond to coordinates on the characterization grid. The grid with the east and north coordinates is shown on all figures included in Sections 4.0 and 5.0 of this report.

4.1 FIELD RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

This section provides a description of the instrumentation and methodologies used to obtain exterior surface and subsurface measurements during radiological characterization of this project.

4.1.1 <u>Measurements Taken and Methods Used</u>

An initial walkover survey was performed using an unshielded gamma scintillation detector [5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide probe] to identify areas of elevated radionuclide activity. Near-surface gamma measurements taken using a cone-shielded gamma scintillation detector were also used to determine areas of surface contamination. The shielded detector ensured that the majority of the radiation detected by the instrument originated from the ground directly beneath the unit. Shielding against lateral gamma flux, or shine, from nearby areas of contamination minimized potential sources of error in the measurements. The measurements were taken 30.4 cm (12 in.) above the ground at the intersections of

3.0-m (10-ft) grid lines. The shielded detector was calibrated at the Technical Measurements Center (TMC) in Grand Junction, Colorado, to provide a correlation of counts per minute (cpm) to picocuries per gram (pCi/g). This calibration demonstrated that approximately 11,000 cpm corresponds to the DOE guideline of 5 pCi/g plus local average background of 1 pCi/g for thorium-232 in surface soils (Ref. 9).

A subsurface investigation was conducted to determine the depth to which the previously identified surface contamination extended and to locate subsurface contamination where there was no surface manifestation. The subsurface characterization consisted of drilling eight boreholes (Figure 4-1) [using either a 7.6-cm- (3-in.-) or 15.2-cm-(6-in.-) diameter auger bit], and gamma logging them. The boreholes were drilled to depths determined in the field by the radiological and geological support representatives.

ł

L

The downhole gamma logging technique was used because the procedure can be accomplished in less time than collecting soil samples, and the need for analyzing these samples in a laboratory is eliminated. A 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector was used to perform the downhole logging. The instrument was calibrated at TMC where it was determined that a count rate of approximately 40,000 cpm corresponds to the 15-pCi/g subsurface contamination guideline for thorium-232. This relationship has also been corroborated by results from previous characterizations where thorium-232 was found (Ref. 9).

Gamma radiation measurements were taken at 15.2-cm (6-in.) vertical intervals to determine the depth and concentration of the contamination. The gamma-logging data were reviewed

FIGURE 4-1 BOREHOLE LOCATIONS AT 9 HANCOCK STREET

16

ſ

l

R

to identify trends, whether or not concentrations exceeded the guidelines.

4.1.2 <u>Sample Collection and Analysis</u>

No.

i

Ĭ

TANKS AND A

To identify surface areas where the level of contamination exceeded the DOE guideline of 5 pCi/g for thorium-232, areas with measurements of more than 11,000 cpm were plotted. Using these data as well as data from previous surveys (Refs. 5, 6, 7, and 8), the locations of biased surface soil samples were selected to better define the limits of contamination. Surface soil samples were taken at eight locations (Figure 4-2) and analyzed for thorium-232, uranium-238, and radium-226. Each sample was dried, pulverized, and counted for 10 min using an intrinsic germanium detector housed in a lead counting cave lined with cadmium and copper. The pulse height distribution was sorted using a computer-based, multichannel analyzer. Radionuclide concentrations were determined by comparing the gamma spectrum of each sample with the spectrum of a certified counting standard for the radionuclide of interest.

Subsurface soil samples were collected from eight locations (Figure 4-2) using the side-wall sampling method and were analyzed to compare laboratory soil sample results to downhole gamma radiation measurements. A cup or can attached to a steel pipe or wooden stake was inserted into the borehole and used to scrape samples off the side of the borehole at a specified depth. The subsurface soil samples were analyzed for radium-226, uranium-238, and thorium-232 in the same manner as the surface soil samples.

FIGURE 4-2 SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLING LOCATIONS AT 9 HANCOCK STREET

4.2 BUILDING RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

ł

矍

ľ

ì

I

After evaluating previous radiological survey data as well as data from this characterization, it was suspected that contamination might be present under the foundation of the residence. A radon measurement was obtained to verify the presence of contaminated material under the residence and to estimate potential occupational exposures during future remedial actions.

Indoor radon measurements were made using the Tedlar bag method. Samples were collected by pumping air into a Tedlar bag at a rate of approximately 2 L/min. The air sample was transferred directly into a scintillation cell with an interior coating of zinc sulfide and an end window for viewing the scintillations. Analysis of the sample was simplified by allowing the radon decay products to build up over time. This method allowed all the radon decay products to come into secular equilibrium with the radon. The scintillation cell was placed in contact with a photomultiplier tube, and the scintillations were counted using standard nuclear counting instrumentation.

Indoor air samples were collected to determine a WL for radon and thoron daughters. To measure radon daughters, an air sample was collected for exactly 5 min through a 0.45-micron filter at a rate of 11 L/min for a total sample volume of 55 L. Alpha particle activity on the filter paper was counted from 40 to 90 min after sampling. An alpha scintillation detector coupled to a count-rate meter or digital scaler was used. Measurements for thoron daughters were made using the same method as for radon daughters with the exception of the time between collection of the air sample and counting of the alpha particle activity. In the case of thoron daughters, the sample was allowed to age for

at least 5 h after sampling before alpha activity was counted. This elapsed time allowed radon daughters, which may have been present with the thoron daughters, to decay sufficiently so as not to interfere in calculating the WL for thoron daughters.

Exterior gamma exposure rate measurements were made at four locations throughout the property grid system and at one location inside the residence. To obtain these measurements, either a 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector designed to detect gamma radiation only or a pressurized ionization chamber (PIC) was used. Measurement locations are shown in Figure 4-3. The PIC instrument has a response to gamma radiation that is proportional to exposure in roentgens. Α conversion factor for gamma scintillation to the PIC was established through a correlation of these two measurements at four locations in the vicinity of the property. The unshielded gamma scintillation detector readings were then used to estimate gamma exposure rates for each location. These measurements were taken 1 m (3 ft) above the ground. The locations were determined to be representative of the entire property. Interior measurements are generally obtained with the gamma scintillation instrument rather than the PIC because of its smaller size and the desire to minimize the technician's time inside the residence.

Ť

2

Ĩ

FIGURE 4-3 GAMMA EXPOSURE RATE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS AT 9 HANCOCK STREET

۱

ACTION AND

.

Į

-

R

Radiological characterization results are presented in this section. The data included represent exterior surface and subsurface radiation measurements and interior radiation measurements.

5.1 FIELD RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

ľ

ł

Near-surface gamma radiation measurements on the property ranged from 3,000 cpm to approximately 10,000 cpm. The average background level for this area is 5,000 cpm. A measurement of 11,000 cpm is approximately equal to the DOE guideline for thorium-232 of 5 pCi/g above background for surface soil contamination. Using this correlation, the near-surface gamma measurements were used to determine the extent of surface contamination and the basis for selecting the locations of soil samples. No areas of surface contamination were indicated by near-surface gamma measurements.

Surface soil samples [depths from 0.0 to 15.2 cm (0.5 in.)] were taken at eight locations on the property (Figure 4-2). These samples were analyzed for thorium-232, uranium-238, and radium-226. The concentrations in these samples ranged from less than 3.4 to less than 8.3 pCi/g for uranium-238, from 1.1 to 3.6 pCi/g for thorium-232, and from 0.8 to 1.5 pCi/g for radium-226. Analytical results for surface soils are provided in Table 5-1; these data showed that concentrations of thorium-232 do not exceed DOE guidelines (5 pCi/g plus background of 1 pCi/g for surface soils) with a maximum concentration of 3.6 pCi/g. Use of the "less than" (<) notation in reporting results indicates that the radionuclide was not present in concentrations that are quantitative with the instruments and techniques used. The "less than" value represents the lower bound of the quantitative capacity of the instrument and technique used. The "less than" value is based on various factors, including the volume, size, and weight of the sample; the type of detector used; the counting time; and the background count rate. The actual concentration of the radionuclide is less than the value indicated. In addition, since radioactive decay is a random process, a correlation between the rate of disintegration and a given radionuclide concentration cannot be precisely established. For this reason, the exact concentration of the radionuclide cannot be determined. As such, each value that can be quantitatively determined has an associated uncertainty term (\pm) , which represents the amount by which the actual concentration can be expected to differ from the value given in the table. The uncertainty term has an associated confidence level of 95 percent.

Į.

1

Thorium-232, the primary contaminant at the site, is the radionuclide most likely to exceed a specific DOE quideline in soil. Parameters for soil sample analysis were selected to ensure that the thorium-232 would be detected and measured at concentrations well below the lower guideline value of 5 pCi/g in excess of background level. Radionuclides of the uranium series, specifically uranium-238 and radium-226, are also potential contaminants but at lower concentrations than thorium-232. Therefore, these radionuclides (considered secondary contaminants) would not be present in concentrations in excess of guidelines unless thorium-232 was also present in concentrations in excess of its guideline level. Parameters selected for the thorium-232 analyses also provide detection sensitivities for uranium-238 and radium-226 that demonstrate that concentrations of these radionuclides are below guidelines. However, because of the relatively low gamma photon abundance of uranium-238, many of the uranium-238 concentrations were below the detection

sensitivity of the analytical procedure; these concentrations are reported in the data tables as "less than" values. To obtain more sensitive readings for the uranium-238 radionuclide with these analytical methods, much longer instrument counting times would be required than were necessary for analysis of thorium-232, the primary contaminant.

Analytical results for subsurface soil samples are given in Table 5-1, and gamma logging data are given in Table 5-2. The results in Table 5-2 showed a range from 9,000 cpm to 34,000 cpm. A measurement of 40,000 cpm is approximately equal to the DOE guideline for subsurface contamination of 15 pCi/g. Analyses of subsurface soil samples [taken at depths from 15.2 to 30.4 cm (0.5 to 1.0 ft)] indicated uranium-238 concentrations ranging from less than 6.3 to less than 14.1 pCi/g, thorium-232 concentrations ranging from 1.8 to 3.5 pCi/g, and radium-226 concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 1.5 pCi/g.

Į

ĺ.

ł

ľ

I

Ð

Part of the local data

On the basis of near-surface gamma radiation measurements, surface and subsurface soil sample analyses, and downhole gamma logging, contamination on this property is believed to consist primarily of subsurface contamination at depths ranging from 1.22 m (4.0 ft) to 1.37 m (4.5 ft). The areas of subsurface contamination are shown in Figure 5-1. The subsurface contamination appears to extend beneath the residence, and there is an isolated area of subsurface contamination in the northeast corner of the property near the street.

It is apparent from review of historical documentation (e.g., aerial photographs of the area, interviews with local residents, and previous radiological surveys) that the subsurface contamination on this property lies along the

FIGURE 5-1 AREAS OF SUBSURFACE CONTAMINATION AT 9 HANCOCK STREET

I

transfer to

1

I

Í

Automation and

former channel of Lodi Brook and its associated floodplain. The contamination on this property is similar to contamination found on residential properties in close proximity to this property. It has been established that the Lodi Brook channel through these neighboring properties once occupied locations connecting to those where stream sediments were found at 9 Hancock Street. Thus, the elevated gamma readings shown on gamma logs from boreholes drilled on this property serve as further indication of the suspected mechanism of transport for radiological contamination (i.e., stream deposition from Lodi Brook).

The vertical and horizontal limits of contamination as determined by this characterization effort are being evaluated to determine the volume of contaminated material that will require remedial action. To develop this estimate, BNI will consider the location of the contamination, construction techniques, and safety procedures.

5.2 BUILDING RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

Ĩ

1

ł

1

Ì.

1...

ľ

ħ

5

h

l

ŀ

E

h

Results of indoor radon measurements using the Tedlar bag method indicated concentrations of 0.2 and 0.9 pCi/L. These measurements were substantially less than the applicable DOE guideline of 3.0 pCi/L above background (Ref. 10).

Results of measurements for radon daughters ranged from 0.0003 to 0.008 WL. These results were substantially less than the applicable generic guideline detailed in the Code of Federal Regulations, 40 CFR 192 (Ref. 10), which states that an annual average (or equivalent) radon decay product concentration not exceed 0.02 WL.

Results of measurements for thoron daughters ranged from 0.002 to 0.004 WL. The generic guideline is more restrictive

for radon-222 (radon) than for radon-220 (thoron) according to the National Council on Radiological Protection [see NCRP Report No. 50 (Ref. 11), which was used as the guideline for thoron daughter measurements].

Ł

(

L

I.

L

İ.

Į.

1¹

Ð

11

Exterior gamma radiation exposure rate measurements ranged from 11 to 14 μ R/h, including background. These results can be found in Table 5-3. Assuming the resident spends 36 hours per week for 52 weeks per year (1,872 hours or 8 hours per day for 2 days per week and 4 hour per day for 5 days per week) in the yard, the average exterior exposure rate of 12 μ R/h would result in a yearly dose of 6 mrem above background (after subtracting average background of 9 μ R/h; Ref. 12).

The indoor exposure rate measurement was 6 μ R/h, including background. The indoor exposure rate does not exceed average background (Table 5-3). For comparison, the DOE guideline for indoor exposure rate is 20 μ R/h.

Based on the above information, the exposure rates and doses at this property are within DOE guidelines. Further, it should be emphasized that natural background exposure rates vary widely across the United States and are often higher than average background for this area.

SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE RADIONUCLIDE CONCENTRATIONS IN SOIL

FOR 9 HANCOCK STREET

Coord	linates ^a	Depth	Conce	entration (pCi/g ± 2 sign))
East	North	(ft)	Uranium-238	Radium-226	Thorium-232
2868	2035	0.0 - 0.5	< 3.4	1.2 ± 0.4	2.5 ± 0.4
2868	2035	0.5 - 1.0	< 7.7	1.4 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.8
2863	2063	0.0 - 0.5	< 8.2	0.9 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.8
2863	2063	0.5 - 1.0	< 6.3	1.1 ± 0.1	3.3 ± 0.8
2839	2086	0.0 - 0.5	< 5.6	0.8 ± 0.1	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 2.6 & \pm & 0.7 \\ 1.8 & \pm & 0.2 \end{array}$
2839	2086	0.5 - 1.0	< 7.2	0.9 ± 0.3	
2859	2106	0.0 - 0.5	< 7.4	1.5 ± 0.4	3.6 ± 0.5
2859	2106	0.5 - 1.0	< 7.1	1.3 ± 0.1	2.6 ± 0.3
2840	2147	0.0 - 0.5	< 8.3	0.8 ± 0.2	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.7 & \pm & 1.2 \\ 2.2 & \pm & 0.6 \end{array}$
2840	2147	0.5 - 1.0	< 6.5	0.5 ± 0.1	
2861	2128	0.0 - 0.5	< 7.4	1.1 \pm 0.4	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 2.4 & \pm & 0.5 \\ 2.5 & \pm & 0.1 \end{array}$
2861	2128	0.5 - 1.0	<14.1	1.1 \pm 0.2	
2886	2085	0.0 - 0.5	< 7.0	1.1 \pm 0.5	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.1 & \pm & 0.7 \\ 2.4 & \pm & 0.4 \end{array}$
2886	2085	0.5 - 1.0	< 7.3	1.5 \pm 0.4	
2837 2837	2031 2031	0.0 - 0.5 0.5 - 1.0	< 7.1 < 7.4	$\begin{array}{rrrrr} 1.4 & \pm & 0.3 \\ 1.4 & \pm & 0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 2.9 & \pm & 0.1 \\ 3.5 & \pm & 0.2 \end{array}$

^aSampling locations are shown in Figure 4-2.

l

í

ł

I

Í

Ň

1

Ĩ

ħ

ľ

[

DOWNHOLE GAMMA LOGGING RESULTS

FOR 9 HANCOCK STREET

Page 1 of 5				
Coord	inates ^a	Depthb	Count Rate ^C	
East	North	(ft)	(cpm)	
Borehol	<u>e 561R</u> d			
2837	2031	0.5	9000	
2837	2031	1.0	10000	
2837	2031	1.5	11000	
2837	2031	2.0	17000	
2837	2031	2.5	17000	
2837	2031	3.0	24000	
2837	2031	3.5	22000	
2837	2031	4.0	22000	
2837	2031	4.5	22000	
2837	2031	5.0	21000	
2837	2031	5.5	14000	
2837	2031	6.0	11000	
2837	2031	6.5	11000	
2837	2031	7.0	10000	
2837	2031	7.5	9000	
2837	2031	8.0	9000	
2837	2031	8.5	9000	
Borehol	<u>e 556R</u> d			
2839	2086	0.5	11000	
2839	2086	1.0	13000	
-2839	2086	1.5	13000	
2839	2086	2.0	14000	
2839	2086	2.5	18000	
2839	2086	3.0	19000	
2839	2086	3.5	18000	
2839	2086	4.0	24000	
2839	2086	4.5	34000	
2839	2086	5.0	25000	
2839	2086	5.5	18000	
2839	2086	6.0	17000	
2839	2086	6.5	12000	
2839	2086	7.0	11000	
2839	2086	7.5	11000	
2839	2086	8.0	11000	
2839	2086	8.5	10000	
2839	2086	9.0	10000	

I

Į

[

ľ

Î

-

I

Ę

(continued)

<u>Coordi</u> East	<u>inates^a</u> North	Depth ^b (ft)	Count Rate ^C (cpm)
Borehole	<u>≥ 558R</u> d		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2840	2147	0.5	12000
2840	2147	1.0	14000
2840	2147	1.5	13000
2840	2147	2.0	11000
2840	2147	2.5	10000
2840	2147	3.0	11000
2840	2147	3.5	12000
2840	2147	4.0	12000
2840	2147	4.5	10000
2840	2147	5.0	11000
2840	2147	5.5	10000
2840	2147	6.0	8000
Borehole	<u>557R</u> d		
2859	2106	0.5	12000
2859	2106	1.0	14000
2859	2106	1.5	14000
2859	2106	2.0	11000
2859	2106	2.5	10000
2859	2106	3.0	11000
2859	2106	3.5	24000
2859	2106	4.0	23000
2859	2106	4.5	20000
2859	2106	5.0	22000
2859	2106	5.5	10000
2859	2106	6.0	14000
2859	2106	6.5	11000
Borehole	<u>559R</u> d		
2861	2128	0.5	9000
2861	2128	1.0	12000
2861	2128	1.5	14000
2861	2128	2.0	17000
2861	2128	2.5	17000
2861	2128	3.0	17000
2861	2128	3.5	. 23000

30

I

l

l

Ľ

Marco Marco

ľ

Į,

(continued)

Page 3 of 5				
<u>Coordinatesa</u> Depth ^b Count Rat				
East	North	(ft)	(cpm)	
Borehold	e 559R (cont	inued) ^d		
2861	2128	4.0	19000	
2861	2128	4.5	13000	
2861	2128	5.0	11000	
2861	2128	5.5	12000	
2861	2128	6.0	10000	
2861	2128	6.5	10000	
2861	2128	7.0	10000	
2861	2128	7.5	9000	
Borehol	<u>= 555R</u> d			
2863	2063	0.5	11000	
2863	2063	1.0	10000	
2863	2063	1.5	10000	
2863	2063	2.0	9000	
2863	2063	2.5	9000	
2863	2063	3.0	9000	
2863	2063	3.5	9000	
2863	2063	4.0	13000	
2863	2063	4.5	15000	
2863	2063	5.0	20000	
2863	2063	5.5	22000	
2863	2063	6.0	26000	
2863	2063	6.5	23000	
2863	2063	7.0	21000	
2863	2063	7.5	19000	
2863	2063	8.0	18000	
2863	2063	8.5	16000	
2863	2063	9.0	15000	
2863	2063	9.5	12000	

TABLE !	5-2
---------	-----

I

S. S. S. S. S.

(continued)

TABLE !	5-2
---------	-----

(continued)

Coordi	inates ^a	Depthb	Count Rate ^C
East	North	(f t)	(cpm)
Borehole	e 560R (cont	inved)d	
2886	2085	7.0	10000
2886 2886	2085 2085	7.0 6.0	10000 12000

^aBorehole locations are shown in Figure 4-1.

^bThe variations in depths of boreholes and corresponding results given in this table are based on the boreholes penetrating the contamination or the drill reaching refusal.

^CInstrument used was 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector.

dBottom of borehole collapsed.

l

Ł

Ľ

1

ł.

GAMMA RADIATION EXPOSURE RATES

Coord	<u>linates^a</u>	Rateb
East	North	(µR/h)
2856	2108	11
2860	2055	14
2871	2148	. 12
2880	2043	11
Interior	of Residence	6

FOR 9 HANCOCK STREET

^aMeasurement locations are shown in Figure 4-3.

^bMeasurements include background.

1

1

£

REFERENCES

- U.S. Department of Energy. <u>Description of the Formerly</u> <u>Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program</u>, ORO-777, Oak Ridge, Tenn., September 1980 (as modified by DOE in October 1983).
- Argonne National Laboratory. <u>Action Description</u> <u>Memorandum. Interim Remedial Actions at Maywood</u>, <u>New Jersey</u>, Argonne, Ill., March 1987.
- 3. Argonne National Laboratory. <u>Action Description</u> <u>Memorandum, Proposed 1984 Remedial Actions at Maywood,</u> <u>New Jersey</u>, Argonne, Ill., June 8, 1984.
- Bechtel National, Inc. <u>Post-Remedial Action Report for</u> <u>the Lodi Residential Properties</u>, DOE/OR/20722-89, Oak Ridge, Tenn., August 1986.
- 5. NUS Corporation. <u>Radiological Study of Maywood</u> <u>Chemical, Maywood, New Jersey</u>, November 1983.

l

É

- EG&G Energy Measurements Group. <u>An Aerial Radiologic</u> <u>Survey of the Stepan Chemical Company and Surrounding</u> <u>Area, Maywood, New Jersey</u>, NRC-8109, Oak Ridge, Tenn., September 1981.
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory. <u>Results of the Mobile</u> <u>Gamma Scanning Activities in Lodi, New Jersey</u>, ORNL/RASA-84/3, Oak Ridge, Tenn., October 1984.
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory. <u>Results of the</u> <u>Radiological Survey at 9 Hancock Street (LJ028), Lodi,</u> <u>New Jersey</u>, ORNL/RASA-87/36, Oak Ridge, Tenn., September 1989.

- 9. Thermo Analytical/Eberline. "Technical Review of FUSRAP Instrument Calibrations by Comparison to TMC Calibration Pads," May 1989.
- 10. <u>U.S. Code of Federal Regulations</u>. 40 CFR 192,"Health and Environmental Protection Standards for Uranium and Thorium Mill Tailings," Washington, D.C., July 1986.
- National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. <u>Environmental Radiation Measurements</u>, NCRP Report No. 50, Washington, D.C., December 27, 1986.

l

ł

12. Levin, S. G., R. K. Stoms, E. Kuerze, and W. Huskisson. "Summary of Natural Environmental Gamma Radiation Using a Calibrated Portable Scintillation Counter." <u>Radiological Health Data Report</u> 9:679-695 (1968).

i

KL.

Ł

-

IL

Ĺ

1

E

	G	EC)LOG	ilC	DF	RILI	L LO	G	* RUJEL	• 1		FUSRAP 145	NU. S 01-138	TEET NO.	HOLE NO.
ITE	-			<u> </u>				COORDIN	ATES				ANGLE	FROM HORI	ZBEARING
FCI	<u>9</u>	Ha	ncock	$\frac{St.}{he}$		DDI)			Ľ	0.1.	N	2,031 E 2,837	Ve	rtical	
1-)		861	1-10-8	36		MO	RETR	ENCH	ĥ	Rá	L 25	Little Regyon A" 11	DEN RO	DCK (FT.)	TOTAL DEP
ORE	REC	OVER	Y (FT./	2) 00	DRE	BOXE	SAMPL	ESEL. TO	P CASI	NG	GI	OUND EL. DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER	DEP	TH/EL. TO	P OF ROCK
		_/										10.0/ 11-10-86			/
AMP	LEH	AMME 1	R WEIGH NI/A	T/FAL	L	CAS	ING LE	FT IN HO	LE: DI	A./L	EN	GTH LOGGED BY:		\sim	K ··
u .	•1			T	النا	ATER	2			<u>,</u>	Π	D. MC	GRANE		\sim
D DIAM.	MP. ADU	IPLE REC.	SAMPLE OUS "N" CORE			SSU ESTS		ELEV.	DEPTH	RAPHICS	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIF	ICATION	NOTES WATER WATER	ON: LEVELS, RETURN,
ữ. Trấng Tr	<u>C N</u>			2	ø		E.E			ō	[]			DRILL	ING, ETC
								-	5_			 0.0 - 4.0 ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill material. Multicolored. Fine- to medium-grained with few to num piecess of rounded to angular grav occasional cobbles) of various lith in the fill material. Soft, unconso (loose), moist. 0.0-0.3 ft. Moderate brown (5YR Numerous grass roots and organic 0.3-4.0 ft. Dark reddish brown (1 with a few pieces of carbonaceous 	erous el (and ologies lidated 13/4). 25. 10R3/4) 9 gravel.	Boreho 0.0-11 solid-s Site ch radioar contan hole gr by TM Corp.	ble drilled 5 ft. using 4 tem augers. ecked for ctive nination and imma-logged A-Eberline,
- - 								-	¥ 10_			 4.0 - 7.5 ft. SAND (PT). Coal ash fi Black (N1). Fine -to coarse-grain very low density, unconsolidated moist. 7.5 - 11.5 ft. Silty SAND (SM-SC). Indigenous soil. Fine- to medium soft, unconsolidated (loose). Mois saturated at 10.0 ft. 7.5-9.0 ft. Moderate brown; few j May be buried upper soil horizon. 	ill. ied, soft, (loose), 	10.0 ft observ	. ground wa ed.
												9.0-11.5 ft. Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/2). May be decomposed Bottom of borehole at 11.5 ft. Auger spoils were replaced in the hol 11-10-86.	n sandstone		
												· _			
		-				,								Descri classifi sample examin	ption and cation of sc is by visual nation.
S = =	SPL DENN	IT S	 POON; S ; P = P	 57 # 5 91TCHE	SHEL Er;	BY TL 0 = (JBE; S	ITE	<u> </u>	9	 -	ancock St. (LODI)		HOLE N	^{0.} 561R

L

Ľ

And a second second

GEOLOG	IC DRILL	LOG	PROJECT		JOB NO. SHE	ET NO. HOLE NO.
SITE		COORDINA	TES	FUSKAP	14501-138 1 ANGLE FR	OF 1 556R
9 Hancock	St. (LODI)			N 2,086 E 2,839	Vert	ical
BEGUN COMPLETED	DRILLER	FTDENCH	DRILL	MAKE AND MODEL SIZE	OVERBURDEN ROCH	(FT.) TOTAL DEPTH
CORE RECOVERY (FT./	CORE BOXESIS	AMPLESEL. TO	P CASING	S Little Beaver 4"	12.0	
1				▼ 10.0/ 11	-7-86	/
SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGH	T/FALL CASIN	IG LEFT IN HOL	E: DIA./L	ENGTH LOGGED BY:	D. McCPANE	OPL
	WATER				D. MCGRANE	<u> </u>
SAMP. TYP AND DIAN SAMP. ADU LEN CORE SAMPLE REC SAMPLE SAMPLE BLOWS "N'		ELEV.	DEPTH GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND	CLASSIFICATION	NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.
				0.0-5.0 ft. SILTY SAND material. Multicolored medium-grained with of rounded-angular g cobble) of various litt material; soft; uncons moist. 0.0-0.3 ft. moderate numerous grass roots 0.3-5.0 ft. CARBONACI (PT). Coal ash fill. black (N1); fine-to coars low density; unconsol 6.0-12.0 ft. SILTY SANI Indigenous soil; fine- soft; unconsolidated (moist-saturated at 9. 6.0-8.5 ft. moderate buried upper soil hori 8.5-12.0 ft. dark yell (10YR4/2); decomposition Bottom of borehole at 12 were immediately rep 11-10-86.	(SM). Fill fem-to few-numerous pieces ravel (and occasional ologies in the fill olidated (loose); brown (5YR3/4); and organics. ish brown (10R3/4). 20US SAND e-grained; soft; very idated (loose); moist. 2 (SM-SC). to medium-grained; loose); 5 ft. brown; few pebbles; zon? owish brown red sandstone? 2.0 ft. Auger spoils laced in the hole,	Description and classification of soil samples by visual examination.
SS = SPLIT SPOON; S	T = SHELBY TUBE	E; SITE	<u></u>	Hancock St. (I.O		HOLE NO.

							~	PROJE	CT				JOB NO.	SHE	ET NO.	HOLE NO.
	G	EU	LUG	CD	KIL	<u> </u>	G	ļ			FUSRAP	<u></u>	14501-	138 1	OF 1	558R
SITE	: 0	¥~-	noost C	24 /7 4	001		COORDIN	ATES		NT -	147 8 4 4		A	NGLE FR	OM HORIZ	BEARING
BEGL	<u> </u>		MPLETED	DRILL	ER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1			NZ,	14/ EZ,8	40	OVERBINDEN	Vert		
11-	10-8	8611	L-10-8	6	MO	RETR	ENCH		B&	SL	ittle Beaver	4"	9.0	KOUK		9.0
CORE	REC	OVERY	(FT./%	CORE	BOXE	SAMPL	ESEL. TO	P CAS	ING	GROUN	D EL. DEPT	H/EL. GRO	UND WATER	DEPTH,	EL. TOP	OF ROCK
CAN) E 14	/		/EAL 1		INC 15	ET TH NO	12		-	¥ /	.5/ 11-10	- 00	<u> </u>	/	
]	N/A	/ FALL			<u>NO</u>	NE		= 16 i H	LUGGED BT:		D. McGR	ANE	9PL	
Щ.	Ξu			L PR	ATER	RE			5	Ţ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	P RO	R R	PLE VER	1	ESTS	3	ELEV.	E	멅		DESCRIPTIO	N AND	CLASSIFICA	TION	NOTES	ON:
60	e z	권원	Meno Son	SN4 NN3	SH S	₽zz			E P E	LI HIS					WATER	RETURN,
Se se	L SA	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M			2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	μHΠ			ő	"					DRILLI	ING, ETC.
	1							1		0.	0-9.0 ft. SILT	Y SAND (SM). Fill			
1								1.	1	1	material(3.0-	9.0 ft.) C	olor stratified;		Borehol	e drilled ft. using 4"
	ĺ							1	1		few-numerou gravel (and c	s pieces of	rounded -ang	ular	solid-st	em augers.
								1	1		lithologies (0	.0-10 ft.);	soft; sometimes clay	vev	Site che	cked for ive
								E	7		(SC-OH); mo	ist-satura	ted at 7.5 ft.):	contami	nation and
								.			Dumerous gri	ark reddie	nd organics.	/4).	by TMA	-Eberline,
]		3.0-9.0 ft. d	ark yellow	ish brown (10) tone?	Ŕ		
								¥	1		-, -,,,					
1							_								7.5 ft. g	round water
										В	ottom of boreh	ole at 9.0	ft. Auger spoil	8	observed	1.
						ļ				1	were immedi: 11-10-86.	ately repla	ced in the hole	1	1	
		ľ														
						{]									
															1	
						1]									
				1												
													-			
		1														
						ļ	ļ	1								
						1		{								
			ł		ļ	l										
					İ	Ì	1.									
								1								
			[Į	{	Į	ł								
	1							1							Descrip	tion and ation of soil
								1							samples	by visual stion.
					ļ											
				•												
ss	= SPL	IT S	POON; ST	* SHE	LBY TI	JBE; S	ITE			• •	• •	/			HOLE NO	
0 =	DENN	I SON	; P = PI	TCHER;	0 = (DTHER			9	Ha	ncock St	. (LOI	(וכ			58R

Į

ľ

ł

Į

Printing of

ſ

[

ſ

GEOLOG	ic dril	L LOC	COORD INAT	ROJEC	T	FUSRAP		JOB NO. 14501-	SHEET	NO. HOLE NO. F 1 557F
9 Hancock	St. (LODI)			N	2,106 E 2,85	59		Vertic	al
EGUN COMPLETED	DRILLER	DETTE	NCH	ſ		NAKE AND HODEL	SIZE	OVERBURDEN	ROCK (FT.) TOTAL DE
DRE RECOVERY (FT./	CORE BOX	ES SAMPLES	EL. TOP	CASI	NG G	OUND EL. DEPTH	1 4" /EL. GROUN	9.U	DEPTH/EL	9.0
/						■ (1)				
AMPLE HAMMER WEIGH	FALL CA	SING LEFT	NON	E: DI I <u>E</u>	A./LE	GTH LOGGED BY:		D. McGR	ANE (Joj
SAMD 'D. I.M. SAMP. ADU. LEN CORE CORE REC. CORE REC. CORE REC. SAMPLE BLOWS "N" SCORE		S S S NIN NIN S S S S S S S S S S S S S	LEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION	n and Cl	ASSIFICA	TION U U U D	DTES ON: ATER LEVELS ATER RETURN HARACTER OF RILLING, ET
				5_		0.0-9.0 ft. SILTY (0.0-3.5 ft.) ar material(6.0-9 fine-to mediur faw-numerous gravel (and oc lithologies (0.0 unconsolidate (SC-OH); moi 0.0-0.3 ft. mo numerous gras 0.3-3.5 ft. da mottled moder 3.5-6.0 ft. mo black carbona ash fill); mixee horizon materi 6.0-9.0 ft. da 4/2); decompo Bottom of boreho were immediat 11-10-86.	SAND (SM nd indigeno, No ft.) Cold n-grained; pieces of r casional co of -10 ft.]; so l (loose); sc st. derate brown. oderate	4). Fill us or stratified; with ounded -angu bble) of vari ft; omstimes clay wn (5YR3/4) i organics. brown (10R3 wn, mottled v and gravel (c oper soil h brown (10Y one? . Auger spoils d in the hole	P Har P P P P P P P P P P P P P	RILLING, ET orchole drilled .0-9.0 ft. using 4 blid-stem augers ite checked for adioactive ontamination and ole gamma-logge y TMA-Eberline orp. lo ground water baserved.
SS = SPLIT SPOON; S	T = SHELBY	TUBE; SI	TE				(1.00		н	OLE NO.

Ľ

SITE	G	EO	LOG	IC D	RILI	L LO	G	PROJE	CT]	USRAP		JOB NO. 14501-	SHEE -138 1	OF 1	HOLE NO. 559R
	9	Ha	icock	<u>St. (L</u>	ODI)					N 2,1	28 <u>E 2,</u> 8	61	ľ	Verti	ical	
BEGUI	N 10 1		MPLETED		ER	nern	ENCH			MAKE	AND HODEL	SIZE	OVERBURDEN	ROCK	(FT.)	TOTAL DEP
ORE	REC	OVER	(FT./	CORE	BOXE	SISAMPL	ENCH ESEL. TO	P CAS	BO ING	GROUND	EL. DEPT	H/EL. GRO	UND WATER	DEPTH/	EL. TOP	OF ROCK
										l		.5/ 11-10	0-86		/	/
	LE N	AMME!]	NYA	FALL		ING LE	FT IN HO	LE: DI <u>NE</u>	A./L	ENGTH	LOGGED BY:		D. McGI	ANE	gift) <u> </u>
SAMP. TYPE AND DIAM.	LEN CORE	CORE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE BFCOUERY	PR IN Br.H.H.G.	SALEF ESSU TESTS ON UN UN	TIME IN MIN.	ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS	SAMPLE D	Escriptic	n and	CLASSIFIC(TION	NOTES WATER WATER CHARAI DRILL	ON: LEVELS, RETURN, CTER OF ING, ET(
<u>0.</u>	<u>01</u>				ā			5_		0.0 2.5 bla 3.0 Bol	2.5 ft. SILT material. Mu medium-grai of rounded-a cobble) of va material; soft moist. 0.0-0.3 ft. m 0.3-2.5 ft. d with a few pi -3.0 ft. CAR (PT). Coal a ik (N1); fine- iow density; 1 -9.0 ft. SILT indigenous sc soft; unconso soit horizon? S.0-9.0 ft. d (10YR4/2); c :tom of boreh were immedii 11-10-86.	Y SAND (titicolored; inde with f ngular gra- rious lithoo soderate b uss roots a ark reddis- eces of car BONACE: sh fill to coarse: unconsolid Y SAND (bil fill - to lidated (let ted at 10.0 noderate b wm (10YR ark yellow lecompose nole at 9.0 ately repla	SM). Fill fine-to fine-to ew-numerous vel (and occass logies in the fil idated (loose); rown (5YR3/4 nd organics. h brown (10R2; bonaceous gra OUS SAND -grained; soft; lated (loose); r SM-SC). medium-grain cose); D ft. rown; mottled 14/2); buried u rish brown cd sandstone? ft. Auger spo aced in the hole	pieces ional ll); 3/4) vel. very noist. hed; dark pper lls e,	Descrip classific sample Descrip classific sample examin	btion and cation.
3S ≖) =	SPL DENN	IT S	POON; S ; P = P	T = SHE ITCHER;	LBY T	UBE; S OTHER	SITE		9	Han	cock St	. (LO	DI)		HOLE N	o. 559R

L

Ĭ

A STATE

BEGU	9	Han	PLETE	St.	(LO	DI) R	<u></u>		p	RILL	N 2,063 E 2,863 Vertical -
11-3 CORE	LO-8 RECO	611 VERY	-10-1	36 X) IC	ORE	MOI BOXES	RETR	ENCH	P CASI	B&	S Little Beaver 4" 12.0 GROUND EL. DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER DEPTH/EL. TOP OF
	EHA	/	WEIGH	T/FAI		ICAS	ING LE	FT IN HO	LE: DI	A./L	ENGTH LOGGED BY:
		1	V/A					NO	NE		D. McGRANE
AND DIAM.	LEN CORE	AMPLE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" X CORE			SSUS	TIME IN MIN.	ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION WATER LE WATER RE WATER RE WATER RE WATER RE WATER RE WATER RE WATER RE WATER RE WATER RE WATER RE
<u></u>	ינטי	<u></u>							- - - 5_		0.0-6.0 ft. SILTY SAND (SM). Fill material. Multicolored; fine-to medium-grained with few-numerous pieces of rounded-angular gravel (and occasional cobble) of various lithologies in the fill material; soft; unconsolidated (loose); moist. Borehole dr 0.0-12.0 ft. solid-stem 0.0-3.0 ft. moderate brown (5YR3/4); numerous grass roots and organics. 3.0-6.0 ft. dark reddish brown (10R3/4). Site checke radioactive contaminat hole gamm: by TMA-E 6.0-8.5 ft. CARBONACEOUS SAND (PT). Coal ash fill. Corp.
									¥ 10-		low density; unconsolidated (loose). low density; unconsolidated (loose). Indigenous soil; fine-to medium-grained; soft; unconsolidated (loose); moist-saturated at 9.5 ft. 8.5-12.0 ft. moderate brown; clayey; buried upper soil horizon? 10.0-12.0 ft. dark yellowish brown (10YR4/2); decomposed sandstone? Bottom of borehole at 12.0 ft. Auger spoils were immediately replaced in the hole, 11-10-86.
											Descriptio classificati samples b examinati
ss	= SP		SPOON;	 \$T =	SHE	LBY 1		SITE			HOLE NO.

	G	EO	LOC	ic r)RI	ILL	. LO)G	PRUJEL	• 1	-			JOB NO.	SHE	ET NO.	HOLE
SITE								COORDIN	ATES		F	USRAP		14501-	138 1 NGLE EP		5
	9	Har	lcock	St. (1	LOE	DI)					N 2.03	5 E 2.8	68		Vert	ical	DEARI
BEGU	N	CO	MPLETE	D DRIL	LER	· · · · ·			1	RIL	. MAKE A	ND MODEL	SIZE	OVERBURDEN	ROCK	(FT.)	TOTA
11-	10-8	36 11	-10-	86	M	IOF	RETR	ENCH		Bå	S Litt	le Beaver	: 4"	11.0			
LUKE	KEU	JVERT /	([1.7	2) (0)	(E 81	UXES	SAMPL	ESEL. IC	P CASI	NG	GROUND	L. DEPT	H/EL. GROU	IND WATER -86	DEPTH	/EL. TOP	OF R
SAMP	LE N	ANMER	WEIGH	T/FALL	1	CAS	ING LE	FT IN HO	LE: DI	A./L	ENGTH I	OGGED BY:				/	
		1	V/A					NO	NE					D. McGR	ANE	901	
Щ. Ц.	Σw	<u>.</u>	z i	P	WAT	SUF	RE			ŝ							
μ	E O H	<u>s</u> ñ		<u>.</u>	TES	STS	<u> </u>	ELEV.	Ŧ	DIH		SCRIPTIC	ON AND C	LASSIFICA	TION	NOTES	ON:
ţ.	ē Z	L L L L L		S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	50	H	Ψzz			ЧЫ						WATER	RET
₩ E S S	E S	ΜO		튀그,		n n	ËDË		-	R						DRILLI	ITER Ing,
					+-				<u> </u>		0.0	4.0 ft. Silt	SAND (SI	M). Fill	<u> </u>		
						,			•		п	edium-grai	ned with fe	w to numerou	i _	Borehol	e drill
									•		P P	casional co	bbles) of vi	rious lithologi	10. 85	solid-st	em au
					1				•		1 6	oose), some	times claye	, anconsolidat y (SC-OH), m	oist.	Site che	cked
								-	1.	22		0-0.3 ft. M	foderate br	own (5YR3/4)	٠ſ	contami	natio
									°-			S-40 & D	المتحليف بأبوه	hrown /10De		by TMA	i-Ebe
								.				6.5 ft. CA	RBONACE	OUS SAND	<u>, , , , ,</u>		
					1			Į	'		[]] [PEAT). Co	al ash fill. I	Black (N1). Fi	ine-		
									'			nconsolidat	ed (loose).	very iow dem	····, /		
									V 10		6.5 -	11.0 ft. Sil	ty SAND (SM). medium-grai	ned	95ft o	round
											S	oft, unconse	lidated (lo	ose). Moist to		observe	1.
]		6	5-10.0 ft.	Moderate b	rown. Few pe	bbles.		
												lay be burie	id upper so	l horizon.			
											d	0.0-11.0 ft. ecomposed :	Dark redd sandstone.	ish brown. Mi	sy be		
														•	l		
											Bott Aug	om of borel er spoils we	noie at 11.0 re replaced	ft. in the hole,			
											1	L-10-86.					
:	1																
					-												
										.					-		
								l									
									1								
	ĺ							1									
				1	1												
						1											
										1							
								1								Descript	tion a
																classific samples	ation by v
																examina	tion.
SS =	SPI	L 17 S ¹	- 100N	1 ST = SH		<u>ן</u> יייד ו	BF. IS	L BITE	1	<u> </u>	LL			<u></u>		HOLE NO).
n =	DENN	TSON	D = S		·· 0	= 0	TUED			0	Hanc	ack St		H)		5	54

.

•

.

	G	FC		ח א	RIL		G	PROJE	СТ		JOB NO. SHI	ET NO.	HOLE NO.
SIT							COORDIN	ATES		FUSRAP	14501-138 1	OF 1	560R
	- 9	Ha	acock i	St. (L	ODI)	1				N 2.085 E 2.886	Ver	tical	DCAKING
BEGI	N	C	MPLETED	DRILL	.ER				DRILL	MAKE AND MODEL SIZE OVE	ERBURDEN ROC	K (FT.)	TOTAL DEPT
11-	10-	861	1-10-8	6	MO	RETR	ENCH		B&	S Little Beaver 4"	9.0		9.0
CORI	E REC	over /	r (FT./%	CORE	BOXE	SSAMPL	ESEL. TO	P CAS	ING	ROUND EL. DEPTH/EL. GROUND 1	WATER DEPTH	I/EL. TOP	OF ROCK
SAM	PLE N	AMME		/FALL	CAS	SING LE	FT IN HO	LE: DI	/L	NGTH LOGGED BY:		<u> </u>	1
101	et		N/A	1	JATES	5		NE.	1 1	<u> </u>	. MCGRANE	<u>7</u> P	ſ
SAMP. TYPI AND DIAM.	SAMP. ADU LEN CORE	SAMPLE REC. CORE REC.	SAMPLE BLOUS "N" % CORE RECOVERY	PR NH SSOJ SCJ	ESSU TESTS OFH OFH UN UN LL	RE BMIL WIN WIN	ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLAS	SSIFICATION	NOTES WATER WATER CHARA(DRILL:	ON: LEVELS, RETURN, CTER OF ING, ETC
								5-		0.0-9.0 ft. SILTY SAND (SM-S reddish brown (10R3/4) with moderate brown (5YR3/4) (0.0-0.3 ft.) fine-to medium few pieces of sandstone grav occasional cobble; clay binds unconsolidated; moist-satur Difficult to distinguish betw and/or native material. (See Bottom of borehole at 9.0 ft. A: were immediately replaced in 11-10-86.	SC). Dark ih a thin organic layer I-grained with a rel and ler; soft; rated at 3.5 ft. reen fill e notes).	Borehol 0.0-9.0 solid-st Site che radioact contami hole gar by TM- Gamma fill from 8.5 ft. g observed bescrip classific samples examini	tion and ation of soil by visual ation.
SS D≡	I ≖ SPL DENN	IT S	 POON; S ; P = P	T = SHE ITCHER:		UBE; S	L SITE		9	Hancock St. (LODI)		HOLE NO	

I

ſ

Į