
Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial
Action Program (FUSRAP)

Maywood Chemical Company Superfund Site

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

Document Number

MISS- 040.



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**

M-736

ORNL/RASA-84/LJ1

Health and Safety Research Division

RESULTS OF THE RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY AT
170 GREGG STREET (LJ001), LODI, NEW JERSEY

October 1984

Work performed as part of the
RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY ACTIVITIES PROGRAM

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831
operated by
MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
for the
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under Contract No. DE-AC05-84OR21400

Health and Safety Research Division

RESULTS OF THE RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY AT
170 GREGG STREET (LJ001), LODI, NEW JERSEY

B. A. Berven

Investigation Team

B. A. Berven - RASA Program Manager
W. D. Cottrell - RASA/FUSRAP Project Director
R. W. Doane - Survey Field Supervisor

Work performed as part of the
RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY ACTIVITIES PROGRAM

Prepared by the
OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831
operated by
MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
for the
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under Contract No. DE-AC05-84OR21400

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
SURVEY METHODS	1
SURVEY RESULTS	1
Systematic and Biased Soil Samples.	2
Gamma Radiation Levels.	2
SUMMARY.	2
REFERENCES	3

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Diagram showing locations of soil samples taken at 170 Gregg Street.	4
2	Location of elevated gamma radiation levels at 170 Gregg Street.	5

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	A summary of applicable radiation guidelines.	6
2	Background radiation levels for the northern New Jersey area	7
3	Results of radionuclide concentrations in soil at 170 Gregg Street.	8

RESULTS OF THE RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY AT
170 GREGG STREET, LODI, NEW JERSEY*

INTRODUCTION

A radiological survey of 170 Gregg Street, Lodi, New Jersey, was conducted by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) on August 21, 1984 at the request of the Department of Energy (DOE). Contaminated material was discovered during mobile gamma scan of Lodi, New Jersey.

The radiological survey conducted on this property was for the purpose of determining whether the property had any radioactive material onsite in excess of background radiation levels, and, if so, were these radioactive materials in excess of remedial action guidelines established by DOE such that the property could be "designated" for further investigation. This report summarizes the results of the "designation" survey performed on this property.

SURVEY METHODS

The radiological survey of the property included: (1) a gamma scan of the entire property outdoors; and (2) sampling of surface (0-15 cm) soil. No indoor survey measurements were performed. These survey methods followed the plan outlined in Reference 2. A comprehensive description of the survey methods and instrumentation have been presented in another report.³

SURVEY RESULTS

Applicable federal guidelines have been summarized in Table 1. The normal background levels for the northern New Jersey area are presented in Table 2. These data are provided for comparison with the survey results presented in this section. All direct measurement results presented in this report are gross readings at ground surface; background radiation levels have not been subtracted. Similarly, background

* The survey was performed by members of the Radiological Survey Activities Group of the Health and Safety Research Division at Oak Ridge National Laboratory under DOE contract DE-AC05-84OR21400.

concentrations have not been subtracted from radionuclide concentrations measured in environmental samples.

Systematic and Biased Soil Samples

Systematic and biased soil samples were taken from various locations on the property for radionuclide analyses. Locations of the systematic (LJ1S samples) and biased (LJ1B samples) samples are shown in Fig. 1, with results of laboratory analyses provided in Table 3. Concentrations of ^{232}Th exceeded the background concentrations of ^{232}Th anticipated for northern New Jersey in the biased soil samples collected at 170 Gregg Street (LJ1B1 and LJ1B2). These results indicate that ^{232}Th -bearing residues exist on this site. The amount of material present may be in excess of DOE guidelines (Table 1).

Gamma Radiation Levels

Results of the gamma scan of the surface of the property show several areas where gamma exposure rates are in excess of background radiation levels. These locations are shown in Fig. 2, and the measurements are quantified. These locations suggest where potential ^{232}Th contamination exists. Gamma exposure rate levels up to 110 $\mu\text{R}/\text{h}$ at the ground surface exist on this property.

SUMMARY

Measurements taken at 170 Gregg Street indicate that the property contains radioactive contamination primarily from the ^{232}Th decay chain, and to a lesser extent from the ^{238}U decay chain. This material is found in the locations shown in Fig. 2. Although concentrations of radionuclides were elevated significantly above background, the levels may or may not be in excess of DOE remedial action guidelines. It is recommended that this property be designated for further evaluation. A comprehensive radiological survey will be required to confirm that sufficient contamination exists on site to warrant remedial action.

REFERENCES

1. R. W. Doane and B. A. Berven, "Results of the Mobile Gamma Scanning Activities in Lodi, New Jersey," Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL/RASA-84/3 (October 1984).
2. W. D. Cottrell, ORNL, to A. J. Whitman, DOE/EQ, correspondence, "Radiological Survey of Private Properties in Lodi, New Jersey" (August 15, 1984).
3. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Procedures Manual for the ORNL Remedial Action Survey and Certification Activities (RASCA) Program, ORNL/TM-8600 (October 1982).
4. U. S. Department of Energy, Radiological Survey of the Middlesex Municipal Landfill, Middlesex, New Jersey, DOE/EV-0005/20, April 1980.
5. T. E. Myrick and B. A. Berven, State Background Radiation Levels: Results of Measurements Taken During 1975-1979, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL/TM-7343 (November 1981).

- systematic samples
- ▲ biased samples




Fig. 1. Diagram showing locations of soil samples taken at 170 Gregg Street.




Fig. 2. Location of elevated gamma radiation levels at 170 Gregg Street.

Table 1. A summary of proposed applicable radiation guidelines for the FUSRAP program (April 1984).

Mode of exposure	Exposure conditions	Guideline value	Guideline source
1. External gamma radiation	Continuous exposure to individual in general population (whole body)	60 μ R/h	Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) - Standards for Protection Against Radiation (10 CFR 20.105)
2. Radionuclide concentrations in soil	Maximum permissible concentration of the following radionuclides in soil above background levels averaged over 100 m ² area	5 pCi/g averaged over the first 15 cm of soil below the surface; 15 pCi/g when averaged over 15-cm thick soil layers more than 15 cm below the surface.	DOE Interim Residual Contamination and Waste Control Guidelines for FUSRAP and SFMP Sites (April 1984)
	²²⁶ Ra ²³² Th		

Table 2. Background radiation levels for the northern New Jersey area.

Type or radiation measurement or sample	Radiation level or radionuclide concentration
Gamma exposure rate at 1 m above floor or ground surface ($\mu\text{R/h}$)	8 ^a
Concentration of radionuclides in soil (pCi/g)	
²³² Th	0.9 ^b
²³⁸ U	0.9 ^b
²²⁶ Ra	0.9 ^b

^aReference 4.^bReference 5.

Table 3. Results of soil sample analyses at property LJ001.

Sample ^a	Depth (cm)	Radionuclide concentration (pCi/g)		
		²²⁶ Ra ^b	²³² Th	²³⁸ U ^c
<u>Systematic samples</u>				
LJ1S1	0 - 8	0.72 ± 0.08	0.96 ± 0.3	0.70
LJ1S2	0 - 15	0.81 ± 0.1	0.69 ± 0.6	0.74
LJ1S3	0 - 8	1.8 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.4	1.7
LJ1S4	0 - 8	1.8 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.4	1.8
<u>Biased samples^d</u>				
LJ1B1	0 - 8	29 ± 2	140 ± 30	43
LJ1B2	0 - 10	1.8 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 1	1.6

^aLocations of soil samples are shown on Fig. 1.

^bIndicated counting error is at the 95% confidence level ($\pm 2 \sigma$).

^cTotal analytical error of measurement results is less than $\pm 3\%$ (95% confidence level).

^dBiased samples are taken from areas shown to have elevated gamma exposure rates.