Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP)

# ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

for Maywood, New Jersey



U.S. Department of Energy



# **Department of Energy**

Field Office, Oak Ridge
P.O. Box 2001
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831— 8723

November 17, 1992

Mr. Nicholas Marton, MPH
Bureau of Federal Case Management
Division of Hazardous Waste Management
New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy
CN-028, 5th Floor
401 E. State Street
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Dear Mr. Marton:

# TRANSMITTAL OF ATSDR HEALTH ASSESSMENTS FOR THE MAYWOOD AND WAYNE SITES

The purpose of this letter is to transmit the health assessments you requested for the Maywood and Wayne sites, which the Department of Energy (DOE) manages under its Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP). These health assessments were completed by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR).

I enjoyed our meeting of November 6 and hope you found the site tours useful. I look forward to working with you in the development of final cleanup alternatives for the FUSRAP sites in New Jersey. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at (615) 576-5724.

Sincerely,

Susan M. Cange, Site Manager

~ M. Compe

Former Sites Restoration Division

Enclosures

# Ligality A seessine at It I

MAYWOOD

TEMICAL COHPANY

CERCLIS

). NJD980529762

HAYWOOD, BEF

COUNTY, NEW JERSEY

3 0 1990

Ägency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry ↓.S. Public Health Service HEALTH ASSESS NT
MAYMOOD CHEMICAL COM NY SITES
BERGEN COUN
MAYWOOD, NEW J' SEY

Prepared by
Division of Science at Research
New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP)
and
Environmental Health Service
New Jersey Department of Health

Prepared For:
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)

#### BACKGROUND

The Health Assessment for the Maywood Chemical Company Site includes the Maywood Interim Storage Site (MISS), the Ballod property, the Scanel site, residential properties, and the Sears warehouse and its adjacent properties, all of which are located in the towns of Maywood and Rochelle Park of Bergen County, New Jersey (see Figure 1). These sites are at different investigative or remediation stages under the auspices of both the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the US Department of Energy (DOE). The EPA is responsible for chemical characterization and cleanup operations, whereas the DOE is primarily in charge of radiologic analysis and remediation.

For 40 years, the M wood Chemical Works Company processed thorium ore for the many processed obtaining of gas lanterns and mantles. Until cessation of plass perations in 1956, all process wastes were pumped to diked an west of the facility. In 1932, New Jersey Route 17 was buing through the disposal area. Some of these radioactive wastes and used as fill dirt and mulch for nearby properties. As a result, the Maywood site was led to radioactive and chemical contamination of much of the local area. The site of the former Maywood Company now owned by the Stepan Chemical Company.

After an accidental discovery of radiologic contamination on property formerly own 1 by the Stepan Chemical Co. in 1980, testing by the State of New Jersey and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) revealed extensive, low level radiologic contamination at several different locations. The 3984 Energy and Water Appropriations Act mandated the EXCE to conduct a

decontamination project at the former Maywood Chemical Company (Stepan Chemical Co.) property. As part of this effort, the DOE now owns 11.7 acres of land along the Stepan Chemical Co. property and has constructed the Maywood Interim Storage Site (MISS). The contaminated soils that have been removed from the various Maywood sites are stored at the MISS until a permanent storage facility can be identified.

The Health Assessment for the Maywood site is highly complex due to the inclusion of five different properties, each with different chemical, radiologic and human exposure characteristics. For evaluation purposes, the on-site contamination for each property will be discussed separately in order to clarify the overall analysis.

#### COMMUNITY CONCERNS

The concerns of the communities involved with the MISS as its associated environmental issues have been extensively documented by DOE, EPA, New Jersey Department of Health (NJDO) New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP), and through the media. The interests of the municipalities of Local Maywood, and Rochelle Park are interactive and complex in nature, and often the focus of intense discussion and debate. This size is generally considered by concerned citizens to be an ongoing and active threat to the public health and safety.

The general issues of public concern regarding the MISS may be summarized as follows:

- \* The presence of radioactive and chemical wastes in area soils and groundwater. Although not yet proven, contamination of the Lodi wells is commonly attributed by the public to have originated from the old Maywood Chemical works. Additionally, there is contamination of private and commercial properties in the vicinity of the site.
- \* The decision to construct an interim storage site for contaminated soils in a relatively densely populated area has met with public resistance and outrage. Governmental assurances of the safety and necessity of this decision have been rejected by area residents and officials.
- \* The perceived lack of comprehensive characterization of the nature and extent of the radiological and chemical contamination present on the Stepan Chemical Co. property and other suspect areas.

ひょうしょ ちゃ

\* A dissatisf tion with the remedial investigation and risk assess into performed regarding the site, in light of a public perceived condition of incomplete characteriz ion of the nature and extent of contamination.

At a recent m bing (July 1987), that was conducted by NJDOH, the following issues of concern were identified:

- \* Residents of led for the termination of additional storage at a MISS to prevent further contamination of the site. I sidents perceive the site as a continuous and growing azard.
- \* The immedia commencement of remedial actions.
- \* The identification of areas within the Stepan property which were a dized for final disposal of hazardous wastes.
- \* The identification of areas outside the Stepan property which were utilized for the disposal of process wastes.
- \* Determination of the nature and extent of eight known buried waste deposits associated with the site.
- \* The identification and remediation of buried drummed wastes cited by members of the community.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CONTA MATION AND PHYSICAL HAZARDS

# A. On-Site Contami tion for the Ser Property

Sears, Roebuck and Co. presently and a long-term lease on 31 acres of property that is bounded the west by NJ Route 17, on the north by he MISS and Step Co. and by other commercial facilities to the east and outh (see Figure 1). One third of the propert is occupied by the 2 Sears warehouse which is surrounded by concrete parking and storage areas. Approximately 225 employees work at this location, rost of whom spend the majority of their work day inside the marehouse. The remaining area is grass covered with a swampy section off to the east of the building. The seathern portion of the property houses several commercial facilities, including two gasoline stations and additional warehouse facilities. Through a consent agreement between the Stepan Co pany and the EPA, a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) will be

conducted on the Sears property. The Stepan Co. and their contractor, CH2M Hill, are presently developing the RI/FS work plan.

Gamma radiation levels on the property ranged from background levels (5,000 counts per minute (cpm)) to 244,000 cpm. Radioactivity was detected in an area of approximately 940,000 square feet. Sediment samples were also taken from the swamp areas which contained standing water. Water in these locations contained low levels of gross alpha below DOE guidelines. In addition, contamination were conducted in the Sears facility. The maximum contami various soil samples are compared be Energy's remedial action guidelines.

itamination which fell its for radiologic surface soils below the ion level detected in the to the Department of

TABLE 1: RAD DLOGIC CONTAMINATION OF IL MEDIA AT THE SEARS SIT

| Radionuclide  | Location  | Concent tion (pCi/g)           | DOE<br>Guideline                             |
|---|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Thorium-232<br>Thorium-232<br>Thorium-232<br>Radium-226<br>Radium-226<br>Radium-226 | surface soil sediment bldg. soil surface soil sediment bldg. soil | .0+8 5 .0+2 15 .0+130+10+20+10 | 5<br>5 (a)<br>15 (b)<br>5<br>5 (a)<br>15 (b) |

#### Footnotes:

(a) There are no DOE guidelines for radiologic contamination of sediment; guidelines for soil are used for comparison purposes.

(b) The DOE guideling for any 15 cm. thick soil layer below the surface layer.

Chemical analysis techniques revealed pr. volatiles; 2) base/neu organochlorines); 4) ! contaminants; 6) esser Almost all contaminant northwest quadrant of across from the Sears gasoline and fuel rela subsurface in the 3-1 illustrates the maxim exceeded the applicabl requirements (ARARs).

the Sear's soil using soil boring rily six classes of contaminants: 1) l compounds; 3) pesticides (primarily y metals; 5) gasoline and fuel oil l and ethereal oils and caffeine. ere detected at surface level in the site and the grassy area located 'lding areas. The exception was the contaminants which were found 11-foot depth range. Table 2 below boil contamination levels which or relevant and appropriate

TABLE 2: CHEMICAL CONTINUATION OF SOIL AT SETTS/MAYWOOD TE

| Contaminant Co       | aximum one otration (ppm) | AURS (ppm) |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Methyl ethyl ketone  |                           | 1.0        |
| Total Petroleum Hydr | rocritons                 | 100.0      |
| Benzene              | 81                        |            |
| Toluene              | 9.4                       |            |
| Ethylbenzene         | 55                        |            |
| Xylene               | 120                       |            |
| Arsenic              | <b>27</b>                 | 20.0       |
| Cadmium              | 4.3                       | 3.0        |
| Chromium             | 439                       | 100.0      |
| Lead                 | 3420 (R)                  | 250-1,000  |
| Mercury              | 30                        | 1.0        |

#### Footnotes:

(R) Rejected for exceeding of laboratory hold time ( $\varepsilon = QA/QC$  section for explanation).

In particular, the Sears on-site contamination pat ns associated with benzene, toluene, xylene and thylbenze which are common octane-boosting additives to gasoline, bε one to surmise that much of the chemical contamination be due to a nearby underground gasoline storage tank. several gasoline stations nearby which may have either surface : llage or a leaking underground gasoline tank. All on-site cominants were near surface, with the exception of gasoline-relata contaminants, which indicates contamination was due to : face spillage or the use of contaminated fill. Most surficia contamination is located in the grassy areas across from 'ne Sears parking lot.

During the boring operations for soil samples at the Sears site, buried drums containing sludge-like material were discovered. Analysis revealed high levels of benzene, to uene, xylene, and bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate in the contained. After drums were pierced from the bores, the holes were temporarily plugged to present further volatile release of the contaminants. Through bote willing and the use of metal detectors, it appears that marrels had previously been discarded in former creek channels of the property.

To date, no groundwat r samples have been taken from this site. These samples are r dessary to accumately characterize the site.

# Quality Assurance/Quality

All chemical contaminvalidated by Ebasco using organic, pesticides/PCBs a of lead, data that may hav laboratory holding time re document because it would chemical concentration. data is reported even thou the actual concentration.

# On-Site Contamination

The Maywood Interim S' by the Department of Energy the radioactive waste gath action operations. The MI 11.7 acres. An on-site st. waste covers approximately area has been prepared for MISS is located in a highl area which runs along the Rochelle Park. The popula approximately 10,000 peopl. lie north of the site roug' bounds the MISS property. is from remedial actions p nearby properties, includi-Rochelle Park residential: property in Rochelle Park.

reveals the presence of both radiologic and chemical approximately equal to the DE's guideline of DCi/g). Subsurface measurements from borehole sampling revealed radiological contamination anging between 200 cpm and detected.

data for the Sears site was ta validation guidelines for organic compounds. In the case rejected because it exceeded ments was included in this result in a lower estimate of the levels are still high, this reflects an underestimati : of

# e Maywood Interim Storage Cite

te Site (MISS) has been designated a temporary storage facility for from the Maywood-related remedial 3 a fenced vacant lot occupying e pile of low-level radioactive o acres of land and an additional e as a second storage pile. 'eveloped residential and industrial der of the towns of Maywood and on density of the area is mer square mile. Residential areas 300 yards from the railroad that be waste presently stored on the site Cormed in 1984 and 1985 at several twenty-five Mayrood, Lodi an' perties and portions of the allod

The Department of Enerm's characterization of the site contamination. Near surface gamma radiation measurements on the property ranged from a mckground level of 5000 cpm to approximately 994,000 cpm. 1 gamma reading of 11,000 cpm is 4,300,000 cpm. (A gamma rending of 40,000 cpm is equivalent to the DOE guideline of 15 pC g for subsurface contamination.) Thorium-232 was identified as the primary radioactive material on-site with elevated levals of radium-226 and uranium-238 also Table 3 reports the maximum soil values which exceed the DOE's remediation action guidelines.

TABLE 3: RADIOLOGIC CONTAMINATION OF TER MISS SOIL

| Radionuclide  | Location                                       | Maximum<br>Concentration (pCi/q) | DOE<br>Guidelines       |
|---|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Radium-226<br>Thorium-232<br>Thorium-232<br>Radium-226<br>Thorium-232 | surface<br>surface<br>sediment<br>subsurface ( |                                  | 5<br>5<br>5<br>15<br>15 |

#### Footnotes:

- (a) The level of detectability varied with these soil samples because it is proportionally based on the quantity of the sample, its heterogeneity, the moisture content, and the counting geometry.
- (b) There are no DOE guidelines for radiologic contamination of sediment; soil guidelines are used for comparison purposes.
- (c) Samples were taken between 1 and 15 feet below the MIS surface.

Volatile organic compounds, such as benzene and toluene were detected in soil samples but not at levels above NJ Recommended Soil Guidelines. Certain heavy metal concentrations were detected in the soils above the NJDEP Cleanup Objectives, as illustrated below.

TABLE 4: SOIL CONTAMINATION OF MAYWOOD INTERIM STOTIGE SITE

|   | Maximum                       | •  |
|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Compound  | Concentrations (ppm)          | ARARs (ppm)  |
| Arsenic<br>Cadmium<br>Chromium<br>Lead<br>Mercury | 51<br>20<br>3920<br>790<br>93 | NJ Soil Guideline: 20<br>NJ Soil Guideline: 3<br>NJ Soil Guideline: 100<br>NJ Soil Guideline: 250-1000<br>NJ Soil Guideline: 1 |

The DOE monitoring activities at the site, as required by the NJ Pollution Discharge Elimination System permits, included installation of eleven groundwater monitoring wells. Some of the higher levels of contamination were detected in a monitoring well upgradient from the site, indicating that another contamination source may exist. These groundwater samples were tested only for chemical contamination in 1985 and 1986; the

maximum reported results which surpassed New Jersey's Standards for drinking water are shown in Table 5.

TABLE 5: CHEMICAL ONTAMINATION IN GROUNDWATER AT THE FISS

| Contaminant          | Maximum Conc. (ppb) | Standards (ppb) (a) |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Methylene chloride   | 1087                | 2                   |
| Trichloroethylene    | 6 <b>6</b>          | 1                   |
| Benzene              | 1240                | 1                   |
| Tetrachlorethylene   | .70                 | 1                   |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethyle | <b>54</b>           | 10                  |
| Vinyl chloride       | <b>320</b>          | 2                   |
| Arsenic              | 381                 | 50                  |
| Cadmium              | 47.1                | 10                  |
| Chromium             | 3 <b>72</b>         | 50                  |
| Lead                 | 325                 | 50                  |
| Mercury              | 129                 | 2                   |
| Selenium             | 29.4                | 10                  |
| Zinc                 | <b>300</b>          | 5,000 (5)           |

#### Footnotes:

- (a) New Jersey Safe king Water Ac', NJAC 7:10-5 ar. 7:10-16.7
- (b) New Jersey Safe : iking Water Act, NJAC 7:10-7, S ndary Drinking Water R lations.

#### Quality Assurance/Qual Control

QA/QC procedures was followed for both the sampling and laboratory analysis. It hod/reagent blanks were simultan busly analyzed to avoid fals bositives and duplicates were performed to demonstrate the representation of results.

### C. On-Site Contamination of the Ballod Properties

Waste sludge produce I from Maywood's thorium processing operations was originally pumped to settling lagoons located on the present Ballod property. When the Stepan Company purchased the Maywood Chemical Co. Torks, these waste materials were removed from the southern portion of the property and and the property was approved for unrestricted to by the Atomic Energy Commission. It was accidentally discovered in 1980 that elevated levels of radiation still existed on the property. A follow-up survey by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission revealed radiologic

contamination is excess of DOE guillines. NJDEP analysis revealed low less of chemical counds which fell below state and federal guillines.

Remediation were contaminate were removed in the MISS. Contaminants including contaminants including contaminate procedures. The where radioaction unrestricted usedetectable on-s

above guideline evels. Contaminated soils e northern section of Ballod and stored at measures were employed to avoid human exposure tring excavation his inhalation of dusts, all moistening of soil during the removal DOE states that there is no area [at Ballod] contamination still exists in excess of the midelines. The Fallod property was cleared or even though low level radiation is still 2, and a senior citizen's home was built on the second second extended to the second extended to the second extended to the second extended ex

# D. On-Site Con mination of the Scanel Property

The Scanel property is located in the city of Maywood, of the Sears site. It is believed that waste material from Maywood Chemical Works thorium processing operation was eithdisposed or included in fill at the Scanel site. Investigatic conducted in 1981 and 1983 noted elevated concentrations of thorium-232 and radium-228 and -226 in the Scanel soils. The Department of Energy is conducting a radiologic survey of the property which will include analysis for chemical contaminant

# E. On-Site Contamination of Residential Properties

Certain re idential properties (8 along Davison and Lath Street in Maywood, New Jersey and 9 on Grove and Parkway in Rochelle Park, "J) were identified by NRC surveys as having radiologic contemination. Contamination consisted primarily (thorium-232, with lower levels of uranium and radium-226 also detected. These sites had become contaminated when "organic mulch" -- which actually contained thorium residues -- was removed from the Maywood Chemical Work facility and used as fill for nearby residences. Excavation procedures, similar to the Scanel clean-up operation, were employed to minimize possible human exposure to radiologically contaminated soils. These sites have been certified for unrestricted use by the Dog because radiologic levels are now below remediation action guidelines.

# F. On-Site Contamination of the Stepan Chemical Co. Property

As part of their monitoring of the MISS, the DOE has drilled monitoring wells on the Stepan Co. property. Results

of these monitoring operations are currently undergoing a QA/QC review and not available, but early indications are that this area is also contaminated by radionuclides and chemical materials. There is currently no official investigation at the Stepan Co. property even though its proximity to the MISS and its status as the former site of the Maywood Chemical Works would make it a likely candidate for contamination.

# G. Off-Site Contamination of the Maywood Area

# 1. Lodi, New Jersen

The Maywood sites have been implicated as a possible source of the extensive chemical and radiologic contamination in the Lodi Municipal wells, a site on the National Priority List. All eleven of the public water wells in Lodi, New Jersey have been permanently closed and radioents are now supplied by an alternative water purveys the neighboring Maywood for the neighboring Maywood for the neighboring Maywood for the neighboring Maywood for the site, are under investigation as possible sources of commitments found in the underground water—supplies of Lodi, including both private and public wells, and compares them to appropriate government standards.

Table 6: Maximum Contamination Detected in Lodi Water Wells and the Drinkin Water Standards

| Maximum                  | Concentration |               |          |       |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|-------|
| CONTAMINANT              | (1 <b>0b)</b> | <u>Standa</u> | rds (ppb | ) (a) |
| Carbon tetrachloride     | <b>'9.0</b>   | 2             | •        |       |
| Chlorobenzene            | 700.0         | 4             | •        |       |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane       | 3.34          | 2             |          |       |
| trans-1,2-Dichleroethene | ≥ 230.0       | 10            | •        |       |
| Methylene chloride       | 4.7           | 2             |          |       |
| Tetrachloroethylene      | .5 <b>.</b> 0 | 1             |          | •     |
| Trichloroethylene        | 004.0         | ī             |          |       |
| Total Trihalomethanes    | 115.8         | 100           | (b)      |       |

#### Footnotes:

- (a) New Jersey Safe Drinking Water Art, NJAC-7:10-5 and 7:10-16.7
- (b) US Safe Drinking Water Act Maximum Contaminant Levels; MCL for total trihalomethanes applies only to chlorinated water.

Elevated 1 detected in sev from the tap wa' The alpha contaisotopes (U-234. have been a res sites where rad. Drinking Water /

of gross alpha and beta radiation have been of the well sites and in a few samples taken t commercial establishments located in Lodi. ion is attributed primarily to uranium 35, U-238) and Radi m-226 which may f either manmade or natural sources. ic contamination exceed existing federal Safe SDWA) standards are listed in Table 7.

#### Table 7: Radi: Supp?

# c Contaminants in t Lodi Area Water

| Water Site                                      | Range of Gross Alina Contamination (pCi/L)          | Federal SDWA Standard (pCi/L) |  |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--|
| PUBLIC WELL (a) PRIVATE WELL (b) COMMERCIAL TAP | ND - 150 +/-50<br>ND - 210 +/-10<br>10.2 - 51 +/-32 | 15<br>15<br>15                |  |

ND = nondetecta!

#### Footnotes:

(a) Sample da!

(b) Analysis c

(c) Analysis c establishr were between 9/13/83 and 7/15/84. amont Chemical Co. Monitoring Well, 7/15/84. he tap water at a commercial eating in Lodi, 7/15/84.

# Maywoo(

# Micipal Pool

Because of supplies in Mayv Maywood Board of Pool during its radiologic contr into the pool, to tetrachloroethen and trichloroeth for nonpotable r performed by the unacceptable. uses the public 'ter supply.

concern about contaminated groundwater the NJDEP received a request from the 11th in 1986 to test the Maywood Municipal val multi-day filling process. While no ation was found in the water being piped 2 volatile organic compounds were detected: 42 ppb); trans-1,2-dichloroethene (3.7 ppb); 1 (3.9 ppb). No standards or guidelines exist reational waters but a risk assessment JDEP indicated that these levels may be to this concern, the City of Maywood now has the pool filled ! the Hackensack Water Company and no longer

# Maywood esidential Area

The groundwe er supplies of the city of Maywood have not been tested for c emical or radiologic contamination as part of any site investigation. A residential well in the vicinity of the May and site was tested originally to determine conditions upgradic from the site. Analysis revealed a contamination profile imilar to the Maywood municipal pool. One volatile organic impound exceeded the New Jersey Maximum Contaminant Level for drinking water; tetrachlorocthylene was found at a concentration of 52.2 ppb. This data was reviewed and accepted by the November 12.2 ppb. This data was reviewed and accepted by the November 12.2 ppb. This data was reviewed and accepted by the November 12.2 ppb.

As just of the DOE investigation of Maywood, the Saddle River, which is the major water body in the Maywood/Rochelle Park area, we tested for radionuclide contemination. All results were negative. None of the brooks which retually run through the MISS, Silving or other satellite site his been tested for possible contamination. These surface arways eventually feed into the Gaddle River.

The major is some concern that low levels of volatile organics may be major in through the soil in certain residential areas in Maywood, mossibly from volatilization of contaminated groundwhere. In one case, benzene and  $\epsilon$  by acetate were tentatively detected in the low ppb range in soil gas above a resident of property. Soil gas may be courring in other areas, exposing residents to low levels of harallous airborne substance.

# POTENTIA ENVIRONMENTAL AND HUMAN EXPOSU ? PATHWAYS

# A. Environmental Pathways

There is substantial evidence of radiologic and chanical soil contamination, both above and below the surface, at the various Paywood sites. Soil contamination appears to have led to pollution of the local aquifer, as demonstrated by the results from the monitoring wells located on the MISS property. It is further suspected that this contamination may have migrated across town boundaries to contaminate the local water supplies of neighboring Lodi and other communities. For this same reason, there is a potential for surface waters in the area, such as the Westerley and Lodi Brook with run through the Maywood sites, to be contaminated by let hate from the site.

# B. Human Exposure Pathways

Because of the extensive soil containation around the Maywood site, dermal exposure to chemica and low-level radiologic materials is possible. While the MISS is properly fenced so that access to the public is a stricted, there are other contaminated areas around the Sear site, and possibly around the Stepan Co. sites, that are accessible. The grassy

areas to the north of the Sears warehouse, which employs approximately 225 people, are known contamination spots. Dermal and oral exposure are possible, especially to workers eating lunch or relaxing in the area. In addition, since these areas are not fenced, there is a potential for local children to play in these areas and become exposed to chemical and radiologic contaminants.

There is evidence that the Maywood sites have caused contamination of the underground aquifer, which would lead to human exposure if this water is tapped for public or private well use. Exposure could occur through dermal contact while bathing or swimming, ingestion of drinking water, inhalation of chemicals during showering. The extent of exposure through this media is uncertain and will depend on numerous factors, including the number of well users in the area, the municipal supply source for water, and the extent of the contaminant plume.

Exposure to hazardous substances associated with the Maywood sites can also occur through the inhalation route. Although MISS is currently covered with a tarpaulin (which appreciably reduces dust resuspension), cust or soil particul: with radiologic contamination could potentially become air the from the sites. Furthermore, there is limited evidence the rolatile organic chemical gases have been emanating from so is in residential areas where the shallow aquifers have been contaminated by Maywood-related leachate. Since highest concentrations of the gases would be found at ground level, children may have the greatest levels of exposure to these substances.

# C. Demographics

Presently there is little information provided regarding the demographic make-up of the Maywood area. The only information that could be found for this health assessment was the 1980 census. According to this census the populations of Maywood Borough, Lodi Borough, and Rochelle Park Township are 9,895, 23,956, and 5,603, respectively.

More demographic information is needed to accurately characterize the sites, determine appropriate remedial actions, and conduct a health assessment. This information, which needs to be presented in the remedial investigation report(s), includes the size of the population within a 2-3 mile radius of each site (or within a radius that could be effected by the site), the number of potal wells within a 2-3 mile radius of each site (or within a radius that could be effected by the site), the closest residate and the closest downgradient well to each site, and a charactalization of the population around each site (i.e. identification of sensitive populations, playgrounds, schools, etc.).

# Site Visit

Representatives from the New Jersey DOH and the visited the Maywood Sears site and the Maywood Into Site on October 5, 1988. The MISS is highly secure public with a fence surrounding the facility and so nearby at the Stepan Chemical site. The site, which primarily radiologically contaminated soil from the property clean-up operation, is structurally engine prevent leachate from escaping into the environment temporarily stored pile of waste material is comple with a synthetic cover and leachate collection devi fully employed. A pile of organic material removed Ballod site -- which consists of trees, shrubbery a -- is left uncontained on the MISS. This was not proced in the contained area of the MISS and is left uncovered be considered too bulky for the site and not of concer was no information available about this material's radioactivity.

DEP a Storage from the rity guards contains allod ed to This ly encased s are rom the boulders use it was

The Stepan Chemical Co. which owns the propert: leading into the MISS is responsible for the security around the lite. As the original site of the Maywood Chemical Works, it is ! ghly probable that this facility was contaminated from p: t thorium-processing operations. While the MISS, Sear, Ballod and numerous residential locations have been investigated for chemical and radiologic contamination, the Stepan sie has not come under the same scrutiny. Both the EPA and DOE initiated preliminary surveys of the property, but the results are undergoing a QA/QC review and have not been offi ially released.

The day after the site visit, the DOH was notified by a Maywood resident that a large children's party, spongored by a local newspaper and the Stepan Co., was to be held that weekend (Oct. 8, 1988) on the company's parking lot. The event, involving several hundred children, was scheduled to take place in an area officially under investigation as a Super and site. Though no official contamination information was available. it was considered inappropriate by the staffs of the DOF and DEP for children to be brought onto an uncharacterized Swerfund Stepan initially declared to relocate the parity until a site. NJDEP radiation assessment t n went to the facility ind detected above background let is of radiation along clacks in the asphalt of the parking 1. . Stepan then voluntar ly moved the children's balloon-launching to the Maywood Fire partment property.

The Sears property was a large commercial facili ? with L substantial truck activity at the warehouse. The out ide grassy areas do not appear to be likely locations for lunch/ ecreational activities. Nor is this area likely for children's activities. Most activity appears to occur inside the facility though there are numerous truck drivers found sitting around the parking lot in their cabs. Access to the site is fairly simple though a guard is stationed at one of the facility's numerous entries.

#### EVALUATION AND DISCUSSION

Limited environmental characterization data is available on most of the Maywood sites. The Sears and the MISS sites both have evidence of radiologic and chemical contamination of the Thorium-232 and radium-226 were the primary radionuclides found whereas chemical contamination consisted of heavy metals and some petroleum hydrocarbons. For the MISS, manitoring wells around the site indicate that extensive chemical and heavy metal contamination of the groundwater is occurring in that area. Methylene chloride, benzene, trans-1,2-dichloroethylene, and zinc were detected at the highest levels, all of which exceeded NJ drinking water standards. It is uncertain as to how far this contaminant plume has migrated into the aquifer and how the drinking water supply of local communities may have been affected. The environmental data available for both the Sears and the MISS sites focuses only on on-site conditions; off-rite contamination information is essentially nonexistent.

The Stepan Co. site has not been fully investigated for potential radiologic and chemical contamination even though this area was likely the original location of the pollution source. Characterization efforts should be expedited for this site, especially since there is a significant working population on-site. The preliminary survey results from the EPA and the DOE on contamination in this area should be included in the Health Assessment as soon as it is available.

Limited information is available on the Ballod and residential properties that have been remediated by the DOE for radiologic contamination. This contamination resulted from use of fill dirt which had been gathered from the waste piles of the Maywood thorium processing operation. The DOE has certified these properties for unrestricted use. A senior citizen home was built on the Ballod property. It was never determined if these contaminated lands had any off-site impact via surface water run-off or groundwater contamination. In addition, it has also not been determined if other residential sites still need to be remediated.

While characterization efforts have focused on site specific concerns, there is minimal information available on groundwater or surface water contamination. All the Maywood Superfund sites have focused solely on the individual on-site contamination.

With the exception of DOE's current sampling of the former creek channel on the Maywood/Lodi border, potential off-site impacts have not been evaluated. Even though the Maywood Superfund site consists of numerous properties around the town, there is no overall summary, report, or characterization of the environmental effect this site has had on the nearby and surrounding communities via air, groundwater, or surface water contamination.

Human exposure to these contaminants may occur from a variety of routes. Exposure via ingestion is possible through contaminated drinking water supplies. Dermal contact may occur with soils and surface/pool/bathing waters. Inhalation of radiologically contaminated dust particles, relatilized chemicals during showering, and volatilized cases released from contaminated groundwater are all possible exposure routes. These potential exposure routes for the near my populations have not been appropriately investigated and represent a significant gap in the assessment work for the Maywood sites.

Problems with the investigations of these sites have been exacerbated by the involvement of many different government agencies and their catside contractors with the Maywood project. As a federal lead, EPA and DOE have been responsible for the respective chemical and radiologic characterization and remediation at the sites. NJDEP and NJDOH have also been involved to varying degrees. Each federal agency has a separate contractor (EPA: Ebasco; DOE: Bechtel) performing characterization and remediation work plan development. In addition, through a consent decree, the Stepan Co. is responsible for the remediation of the Sears site and has hired the CH2M Hill C. as its outside contractor.

When no one group assumes the oversight role, there is a high potential for overlap, redundancy, or omission. The most recent example of this problem was the scheduled children's event on the Stepan Chemical property. Although there was general agreement that the activity should not occur, some of the agencies were unclear as to their role/authority in stopping the event. Many groups are also involved in the characterization and cleanup of the Maywood sites; there is no one group responsible for the overall contamination problem.

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of the information reviewed, ATSDR and NJDOH have concluded that the Maywood Chemical site is of public health concern because humans have probably been exposed to hazardous substances at concentrations that may result in adverse health effects. As noted in the Environmental Contamination and Physical Hazards section above, human exposure to chemical and

radiological contamination is probably occurring and has probably occurred in the past via the use of contaminated groundwater and contact with contaminated soils.

As noted previously, high levels of volatile organic and radionuclides have been found or are suspected to be in the soils of several sites in the Maywood vicinity. Results from analysis of the monitoring wells at the Maywood Interim Storage Site, local private wells, and the Lodi municipal wellfield indicate that extensive groundwater contamination is occurring in the area. In addition, the Maywood municipal swimming pool, when being filled with groundwater, was found to have high levels of tetrachloroschylene.

Before suspected areas of contamination are developed, both on-site contamination and the potential off-site migration of contaminants need to be fully evaluated. Developing an area, without characterizing potential contamination could lead to an adverse impact on the public health.

The independent investigations for each of the different Maywood sites highlight the need for a coordinated assessment of the total impact the individual sites have on the Maywood community and vicinity groups. It is essential that remedial and characterization projects currently underway incorporate off-site evaluation and assessments of the potential effects these contaminated sites have on the surrounding population. This includes an extensive evaluation of the groundwater quality in the area, demographic analysis, and an assessment of the surrounding water supply usage (i.e. private well v. public well).

In accordance with CERCLA as amended, the Maywood Chemical Company site has been evaluated for appropriate follow-up with respect to health effects studies. Since human exposure to on-site and off-site contaminants may currently be occurring and may have occurred in the past, this site is being considered for follow-up health studies. After consultation with Regional EPA staff and State and local health and environmental officials, the Division of Health Studies, ATSDR and NJDOH, will determine if follow-up public health actions or studies are appropriate for this site.

This Health Assessment was prepared by the State of New Jersey, Department of Health, Environmental Health Service, under a Cooperative Agreement with the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. The Division of Health Assessment and Consultation and the Division of Health Studies of ATSDR have reviewed this Health Assessment and concur with its findings.

#### REFERENCES

## Superfund documents:

Ebasco, Final Foport for the Maywood Chemica' Company Site: Sears and Vicinity Properties, Remedial Planting Activities, February 1987.

Ebasco, Final Report for 100 Maywood Chemical Company Site: Sears and Vicinity Proper 100, Remedial Planning Activities, February 1987, Revised.

Bechtel National, Inc., C racterization Report for the Maywood Interim Storage Site, For rly Utilized Remedial Action Program, June 1087.

Bechtel National, Inc., Coracterization Report for the Sears Property, Formerly Utilizal Remedial Action Program, May 1987.

CH2M Hill, Work Plan Remedal Investigation/Feasibility Study and RI Operations Plan: I wood and Vicinity Sites, Prepared for Stepan Company under Consent Agreement with USEPA, December 1987.

Stokman and Dime, Soil Chanup Criteria for Selected Petroleum Products, Proceedings of the National Conference on Hazar ous Wastes and Hazardous Materials, March 4-6, 1986.

Bechtel National, Inc., Post-Remedial Action Report for the Residential Properties on Davison and Latham Streets, May rod, NJ, Formerly Utilized Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP), February 1986.


Bechtel National, Inc., Fost-Remedial Action Report for the Ballod Associates Properties, Rochelle Park, New Jersey, Formerly Utilized Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP), November 1985.

Federal Register, Vol. 41, No. 133, Frida July 9, 1976, Environmental Protection Agency, Drinking Ster Regulations.

Ebasco, REM III Program, Draft Work Plan, Nase I Remedial Investigation, Lodi Municipal Well, March 1988.

Camp Dresser & McKee Inc., Performance of Temedial Response Activities at Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Sites, REM II, Lodi Wellfield, Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Interim Report, April 1987.

New Jersey Drinking Water Quality Institute, Maximum Contaminant Level Recommendations for Hazardous Contaminants in Drinking Water, Appendix B, March 26, 1987.



**LOCATION OF MISS**