

M-629

Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP)

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

for the Maywood Site, New Jersey



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**

Date: 5/15/97 7:56:44 AM
 From: HARTMANGS
 Subject: Re: CX Determination - Field Mobilization (NJ) - FUSRAP-040
 See Below

Hey folks,

Following is the approval documentation for mobilization of staff to the field at Maywood.

----> PDCC: Please see that this gets into the official record.

Thanks!

Gary Hartman
 FSRD, EW-93

Forward Header

Subject: Re: CX Determination - Field Mobilization (NJ) - FUSRAP-040
 Author: yzx@ornl.gov at PMDF
 Date: 5/14/97 8:06 AM

This CX is approved!

>
 > CX DETERMINATION - FIELD MOBILIZATION OF FUSRAP CONTRACTOR STAFF
 (MISS),
 > FUSRAP-040
 >
 > The Department of Energy Oak Ridge Operations (DOE/ORO), Formerly
 Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) proposes to relocate
 and temporarily house thirty plus (30+) additional FUSRAP personnel in
 > three temporary trailers to be located on-site at the Maywood Interim
 Storage Site (MISS), Maywood, New Jersey.
 >
 > The proposed action would enable the project management contractor,
 Bechtel National, Inc. to deploy FUSRAP Team staff personnel on-site
 and within close proximity to other DOE-owned or leased sites as well
 as Vicinity Properties, to manage and integrate contracted and
 subcontracted activities more efficiently and in a more cost effective
 manner so that final remediation of the site is completed. Field
 mobilization would further ensure that site operations are carried out
 to meet all legal and regulatory milestones under existing Agreements
 on schedule and within budget.
 >
 > The proposed action includes but is not limited to the following
 activities: Construction of an 31,000 square foot asphalt pad (of
 three inch thickness) on top of an existing crushed stone base (of
 approximately six inch thickness); temporary anchoring and placement
 of two triplewide prefabricated trailers (60 feet by 36 ft), and a
 lavatory trailer, and relocation of the existing construction trailer;
 and excavation for and sub-grade installation of utilities (i.e.,
 telephone, electricity, water).
 >
 > Any waste generated during these activities would be managed in
 accordance with applicable federal, state, and local requirements. Any
 waste would be temporarily stored on-site in accordance with
 applicable federal and state regulations until implementation of the
 final remedial action for the site. Utility installations and tie-ins
 (i.e., sewer connections, water hookups, etc.) would be conducted in
 compliance with any required local permits.
 >
 > The proposed action would be conducted under DOE authority pursuant to
 the Atomic Energy Act (AEA) and would be consistent with the final
 remedial action for the site. The proposed action would take place on
 a previously developed industrial site and would not disturb sensitive
 natural resources. This activity would pose no threat of significant

> individual or cumulative environmental effects, and would not be part
 > of an on-going Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact
 > Statement. This action would be conducted in an environmentally
 > responsible manner to ensure site-specific control of environmental
 > contaminants and would not disturb hazardous substances, pollutants,
 > contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products
 > that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled
 > or unpermitted releases.

> No extraordinary circumstances would be related to this action and the
 > proposal would not be connected to other actions with potentially
 > significant impacts. The estimated cost for this action is less than
 > \$2 million and would take less than 12 months to implement and
 > complete.

> The proposed action is covered by items B 1.15,
 > siting/operation/construction of support buildings/support structures,
 > B 1.7, acquisition/installation of communication systems, data
 > processing equipment, and B 2.1, modifications to enhance workplace
 > habitability, in the DOE Implementing Procedures at 10 CFR Part 1021,
 > Subpart D, Appendix B.

> The above description accurately describes the proposed action, which
 > reflects the requirements of the CX cited above. Therefore, I
 > recommend that the proposed action be categorically excluded from
 > further NEPA review and documentation.

> Gary S. Hartman, Environmental Scientist
 > Former Sites Restoration Division (EW-93)

>----- End of Forwarded Message
 >David R. Allen

 James L. Elmore
 Technical Support Division, (DOE/ORO)
 Bldg. 9114, Y-12 Plant, SE-32
 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831
 Phone: (423)576-0938
 Fax: (423)576-0746

----- End of Forwarded Message
 David R. Allen